VERIZON MASSACHUSETTS APPENDIX A

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Measures and Weights
- 2. Assignment of Dollars at Risk to MOE Categories on Monthly and Annual Basis
- 3. Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Table

APPENDIX A – MODE OF ENTRY

1. Measures and Weights

Table A-1-1: Resale

Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements <u>— Platform</u>

Table A-1-3: Unbundled Network Elements -- Loop

Table A-1-43: Interconnection Trunks

Table A-1-<u>5</u>4: DSL

Note: **BOLD** indicates Critical Measure

Table A-1-1: Resale - Mode of Entry Weights

	PO	Pre-Ordering	Weight	
PO-	1-01-6020	Customer Service Record – EDI	2	
PO-	1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	2	
PO-	-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	5	
	1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	<u>2</u>	
<u>PO-</u>	1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	<u>2</u>	
PO-	-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	<u>5</u>	
	<u>OR</u>	Ordering		
OR-	-1-02-2320	% On Time LSRC-Flow Thru-POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex-2hrs	<u>10</u>	
	<u>-2-02-2320</u>	% On Time LSR Rej - Flow Thru - POTS/Pre-Qualified Complex	<u>5</u>	
OR-	<u>-4-11-2000</u>	<u>% Completed Orders with neither a PCN or BCN Sent</u>	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-16-2000</u>	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-17-2000</u>	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-5-03-2000</u>	% Flow Through - Achieved – POTS	<u>10</u>	
	-6-03-2000	% Accuracy – LSRC	<u>10</u>	
	<u>-1-04-2100</u>	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No Flow Thru) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-1-06-2320</u>	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2	
	-2-04-2320	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2	
<u>OR-</u>	-2-06-2320	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -POTS/Pre-Qual Cmplx	2	
	PR	Provisioning		
	3-01-2100	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines - No Disp) - POTS Total	5	
	4-05-2100	% Missed Appointment VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	20	
	4-04-2100	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS	10	
	4-02-2100 -5-01-2100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	<u>15</u>	
	-5-01-2100 -5-02-2100	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - POTS % Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - POTS	<u>5</u>	
	-6-01-2100	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - POTS	15	
<u>r</u> R-	MR	Maintenance & Repair	1 15	
MD	-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2	
	-1-01-2000 -1-06-2000	Average Response Time - Create Houble Average Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	$\frac{2}{2}$	
	-3-01-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus.	10	
	-3-01-2110 -3-02-2110	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Bus.	10	
	-4-02-2110	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Bus.	5	
	-4-03-2110	Mean Time To Repair - CO Trouble - Bus.	5	
	-4-06-2110	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5	
	-4-07-2110	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5	
MR-	-4-08-2110	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Bus.	5	
	-3-01-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res.	10	
	-3-02-2120	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Res.	10	
MR-	-4-02-2120	Mean Time To Repair - Loop Trouble - Res.	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-03-2120</u>	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Res.	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-06-2120</u>	<u>% Out of Service > 4 Hours - POTS – Res.</u>	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-07-2120</u>	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - POTS - Res.	<u>5</u>	
	<u>-4-08-2120</u>	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - POTS - Res.	<u>5</u>	
MR-	-5-01-2100	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	<u>10</u>	
	<u>BI</u>	Billing		
<u>BI-</u>	<u>1-02-2030</u>	% DUF in 4 Business Days	<u>5</u>	
		Total Weights For Resale MO	<u>263</u>	
<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering		Weight	
1 01		vice Record-EDI	15	
1 01		vice Record CORBA	5	
1.01		vice Record WEB GUI	5	
1 02	Due Date Ava	The state of the s	5	
1 02		ilability-CORBA	2	
1 02		ilability-WEB GUI	2 -	
1 03	Address Valid		5	
1 03	Address Valid		2	
1 03 1 04		ation-WEB GUI	2-	
1 04 1 04		ervice Availability EDI	5	
1 U4	Product and S	ervice Availability CORBA	2	

1-04	Product and Service Availability WEB GUI	2	
1 05	Telephone Number Availability and Reservation-EDI	5	
1 05	Telephone Number Availability and Reservation - CORBA	2	
1 05	Telephone Number Availability and Reservation-WEB GUI	2	
2 02	OSS System Availability Prime-EDI	20	
2 02	OSS System Availability - Prime CORBA	10	
2.02	OSS System Availability - Prime WEB CUI	10	
3 02	% Answered within 30 Seconds — Ordering	10	
3 04	% Answered within 30 Seconds Repair	10	
OR	Ordering		
1-02	% On Time LSRC Flow Through POTS	-20	
1-04	% OT LSRC /ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	5	
1-04	% OT LSRC /ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) Specials	5	
1 06	% On Time LSRC / ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — POTS	5	
1 06	% On Time LSRC / ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — Specials	5	
2 02	% On Time LSR Reject Flow Through POTS	-15	
2 04	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	5	
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) Specials	5	
2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) POTS	5	
2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic) - Specials	5	
4 09	% SOP to Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days	15	
5-03	% Flow Through Achieved POTS and Specials	20	
PR	Provisioning		
3-08	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines No Dispatch) POTS	10	
3 09	% Completed w/n 5 Days (1 5 lines Dispatch) POTS	5	
4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ Total Specials	10	
4 02	Average Delay Days Total POTS	10	
4-02	Average Delay Days Total Specials	10	
4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - POTS	10	
4 -05	% Missed Appointment VZ- No Dispatch - POTS	20	
5-01	% Missed Appointment Facilities POTS	10	
5-01	% Missed Appointment Facilities Specials	10	
5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days POTS	5	
5 02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days — Specials	5	
6 01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days — POTS	15	
6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days — Specials	15	
MR	Maintenance & Repair		
1-01	Average Response Time Create Trouble	5	
1 03	Average Response Time - Modify Trouble	5	
1-04	Average Response Time Request Cancellation of Trouble	5	
1 06	Average Response Time Test Trouble (POTS only)	5	
2 01	Network Trouble Report Rate Specials	10	
2.02	Network Trouble Report Rate Loop (POTS)	10	
3 01	% Missed Repair Appointments Loop	20	
3 02	% Missed Repair Appointments — Central Office	5	
4-01	Mean Time to Repair Specials	20	
4-02	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble	45	
4 03	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble	5	
4 08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours POTS	20	
4 08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours — Specials	10	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - POTS	15	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Specials	15	
<u>BI</u>	Billing		
1 02	% DUF in 4 Business Days	10	
		541	

Table A-1-2. Unbulluled Network Elements — Transfill Mode of Entry Weight	Table A-1-2: Unbundled Network Elements	Platform Mode of Entry Weights
---	--	--------------------------------

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering	Weight
<u>PO-1-01-6020</u>	<u>Customer Service Record – EDI</u>	<u>2</u>
PO-1-03-6020	Address Validation –EDI	<u>2</u>
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	<u>5</u>
PO-1-01-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA	<u>2</u>
PO-1-03-6030	Address Validation - CORBA	<u>2</u>
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	<u>5</u>
PO-1-01-6050	Customer Service Record - Web GUI	2
PO-1-03-6050	Address Validation - Web GUI	<u>2</u>
PO-2-02-6050	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	5
OR	Ordering	·
OR-1-02-3143	% On Time LSRC- Flow Thru - Platform - 2hrs	10
OR-2-02-3143	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Thu - Platform	5
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	5
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	5
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	5
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS	<u>5</u>
OR-6-03-3143	% Accuracy - LSRC - Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143	% OT LSRC - No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	5
OR-1-04-3143	% OT LSRC -No Facil Check(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
OR-2-04-3143	% OT LSR RejNo Facil Ck (ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
	-	
OR-2-06-3143	% OT LSR/ASR RejFacil Ck(ElecNo Flow Thru) -Platform	2
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning	
PR-3-01-3140	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 Lines - No Disp) - Platform	<u>5</u>
PR-4-05-3140	% Missed Appointment VZ - No Dispatch - Platform	<u>20</u>
PR-4-04-3140	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform	<u>10</u>
PR-4-02-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS	<u>15</u>
PR-5-01-3140	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Platform	<u>5</u>
PR-5-02-3140	<u>% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Platform</u>	<u>5</u>
PR-6-01-3121	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Platform	<u>10</u>
MR	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble	<u>2</u>
MR-1-06-2000	Avg. Response Time - Test Trouble (POTS only)	2
MR-3-01-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Platform - Bus	10
MR-3-02-3144	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO Platform - Bus	10
MR-4-02-3144	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-03-3144	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Bus	5
MR-4-06-3144	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform - Bus.	5
MR-4-07-3144	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Platform - Bus.	<u>5</u>
MR-4-08-3144	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Platform - Bus	5
MR-3-01-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop -Platform - Res	10
MR-3-02-3145	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Platform - Res	10
MR-4-02-3145	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-03-3145	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Platform - Res	5
MR-4-06-3145	% Out of Service > 4 Hours – Platform – Res.	5
MR-4-07-3145	% Out of Service > 12 Hours – Platform - Res.	5
MR-4-08-3145	% Out of Service > 24 Hours – Platform - Res	5
MR-5-01-3140	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Platform	10
BI	Billing	10
	% DUF in 4 Business Days	<u>5</u>
BI-1-02-2030		

P	ble A-1-	Pre-Ordering		Weight
PO-1-0	1-6020	Customer Service Record - EDI		2
PO-1-0		Address Validation -EDI		2
PO-2-0	<u>2-6020</u>	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI		<u>5</u>
PO-1-0	1-6030	Customer Service Record - CORBA		2
PO-1-0	<u>3-6030</u>	Address Validation - CORBA		<u>2</u>
PO-2-0	<u>2-6030</u>	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA		<u>5</u>
<u>PO-1-0</u>	<u>1-6050</u>	Customer Service Record - Web GUI		<u>2</u>
PO-1-0	<u>3-6050</u>	Address Validation - Web GUI		<u>2</u>
PO-2-0	<u>2-6050</u>	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI		<u>5</u>
<u>O</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>Ordering</u>		
OR-1-0	2-3331	% On Time LSRC - Flow Thru - Loop/Pre-Qual - 2hrs		<u>10</u>
OR-2-0	2-3331	% On Time LSR Reject- Flow Thu - Loop/Pre-Qual		<u>5</u>
OR-4-1	1-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent		<u>2</u>
OR-4-1	<u>6-3000</u>	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day		<u>2</u>
<u>OR-4-1</u>	7-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day		<u>2</u>
<u>OR-5-0</u>	<u>3-3000</u>	% Flow Through - Achieved - POTS		<u>5</u>
<u>OR-6-0</u>		% Accuracy - LSRC - Loop		<u>5</u>
<u>OR-1-0</u>		% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP		<u>5</u>
OR-1-0		% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP		<u>2</u>
OR-2-0		% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP		<u>2</u>
OR-2-0	<u>6-3331</u>	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facil Ck(E -No F/T) -Loop/LNP		<u>2</u>
<u>P</u>	<u>R</u>	Provisioning		
PR-4-0	2-3100	Average Delay Days - Total - POTS		5
PR-4-0	4-3113	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New		20
PR-5-0	1-3112	% Missed Appointment - Facilities - Loop		<u>5</u>
PR-5-0	<u>2-3112</u>	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days - Loop		<u>5</u>
PR-6-0	1-3112	% Installation Troubles within 30 days - Loop		<u>10</u>
PR-6-0	<u>2-3520</u>	% Installation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut		<u>15</u>
<u>PR-9-0</u>	1-3520	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut		<u> 1 j</u>
M	R	Maintenance & Repair		
MR-1-0	1-2000	Avg. Response Time - Create Trouble		2
MR-3-0		% Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Loop		10
MR-4-0	2-3550	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Loop		<u>5</u>
MR-4-0	7-3550	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Loop		<u>5</u>
MR-4-0	<u>8-3550</u>	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - Loop		<u>5</u>
MR-5-0	1-3550	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days - Loop		<u>10</u>
MR-3-0	2-3550	% Missed Repair Appointments - CO - Loop		<u>10</u>
MR-4-0	3-3550	Mean Time to Repair - CO Trouble - Loop		<u>5</u>
		<u>T</u>	otal Weights For UNE Loop MO	<u>181</u>
	re-Ordering			Weight
	ustomer Ser	vice Record EDI		15
_	ustomer Ser	vice Record CORBA		5
_		vice Record-WEB GUI		5
_		ilability-EDI		5
		ilability-CORBA		2
		ilability-WEB CUI		2
	ddress Valid			5
		ation-CORBA		2
		ation-WEB CUI		2
		Service Availability EDI		5
		Service Availability CORBA		2
		Service Availability WEB GUI		2
	_	mber Availability and Reservation-EDI		5
		mber Availability and Reservation-CORBA		2
		mber Availability and Reservation-WEB GUI		20
		Availability Prime EDI Availability Prime CORBA		20 10
-02 Q	NON THE RESIDENCE	Amende hilliam Chaire a CONDIA	l l	10

3 02	% Answered within 30 Seconds Ordering	10	
3-04	% Answered within 30 Seconds Repair	10	
OR	Ordering		
1 02	% On Time LSRC Flow Through POTS	-20	
1 04	% OT LSRC/ASRC — No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	5	
1 04	% OT LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) Specials	5	
1 06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check (Electronic) POTS	5	
1 06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check (Electronic) - Specials	5	
2 02	% On Time LSR Reject Flow Through POTS	-15	
2 04	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	5	
2 04	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) Specials	5	
2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) POTS	5	
2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) Specials	5	
4 09	% SOP to Bill Completion Sent Within 3 Business Days	15	
5-03	% Flow Through Achieved POTS & Specials	20	
PR	Provisioning		
3 08	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines No Dispatch) UNE P/Other	10	
3 09	% Completed w/in 5 Days (1-5 lines Dispatch) UNE P/Other	5	
4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - Specials	10	
4-01	% Missed Appointment VZ Total EEL	10	
4-01	% Missed Appointment BA Total IOF	10	
4-02	Average Delay Days Total POTS	10	
4-02	Average Delay Days Total Specials	10	
4-04	% Missed Appointment VZ Dispatch Platform	10	
4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ Dispatch - New Loop	10	
4-05	% Missed Appointment VZ No Disputch Platform	20	
5-01	% Missed Appointment—Facilities—POTS	10	
5-01	% Missed Appointment Facilities Specials	10	
5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days POTS	5	
5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days — Specials	5	
6 01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days POTS Other	15	
6 01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days Specials	15	
6-02	% Installation Troubles within 7 days Hot Cut Loops	15	
9 01	% On Time Performance - Hot Cut	20	

MR	Maintenance & Repair		
1 01	Average Response Time Create Trouble	5	
1 03	Average Response Time Modify Trouble	5	
1-04	Average Response Time Request Cancellation of Trouble	5	
1-06	Average Response Time Test Trouble (POTS only)	5	
2 01	Network Trouble Report Rate Specials	10	
2 02	Network Trouble Report Rate Loop (POTS)	10	
3-01	% Missed Repair Appointments Loop	20	
3-02	% Missed Repair Appointments — Central Office	5	
4-01	Mean Time to Repair Specials	20	
4 02	Mean Time to Repair Loop Trouble	15	
4 03	Mean Time to Repair CO Trouble	5	
4 08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours POTS	20	
4 08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours — Specials	10	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days POTS	15	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days Specials	15	
<u>BI</u>	Billing		
1 02	% DUF in 4 Business Days	10	
		606	

T	Table A-1-43: Interconnection - Mode of Entry Weights				
<u>OR</u>	Ordering	Weigh	<u>t</u>		
OR-1-12-5020	% OT Firm Order Confirmations (<=192 Forecasted Trunks)	<u>5</u>			
OR-1-13-5020	% On Time Design Layout Record	<u>10</u>			
OR-1-19-5020	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192)	<u>5</u>			
OR-2-12-5000	% On Time Trunk ASR Reject	<u>5</u>			
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning				
PR-4-07-3540	% On Time Performance - LNP only	<u>20</u>			
PR-4-15-5000	% On Time Provisioning Trunks	<u>20</u>			
PR-5-01-5000	<u>% Missed Appointment – Facilities</u>	<u>5</u>			
PR-5-02-5000	% Orders Held for Facilities >15 Days	<u>5</u>			
PR-6-01-5000	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	<u>10</u>			
PR-8-01-5000	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days	<u>5</u>			
MR	Maintenance & Renair				

Total Weights For Interconnection MOE

<u>PR-8-01-5000</u>	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days	<u>5</u>	
MR	Maintenance & Repair		
MR-4-01-5000	Mean Time to Repair – Total	<u>5</u>	
MR-4-05-5000	% Out of Service > 2 Hours	<u>5</u>	
MR-4-06-5000	% Out of Service > 4 Hours	<u>5</u>	
MR-4-07-5000	% Out of Service > 12 Hours	<u>5</u>	
<u>MR-4-08-5000</u>	<u>% OOS > 24 Hours</u>	<u>5</u>	
MR-5-01-5000	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days	<u>10</u>	
<u>NP</u>	Network Performance		
<u>NP-1-03-5000</u>	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 months	<u>5</u>	

of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 months

NP-1-04-5000

OR-	Ordering	Weight	
1 12	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations	15	
1 13	% On Time Design Layout Record	10	
2 12	% On Time Trunk ASR Reject	10	
PR-	Provisioning		
4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ Total	20	
4-02	Average Delay Days Total	10	
4 07	% On Time Performance LPN only	20	
5-01	% Missed Appointment Facilities	10	
5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 Days	10	
6 01	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	15	
MR-	Maintenance & Repair		
4-01	Mean Time to Repair Total	20	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days	10	
NP-	Network Performance		
1 03	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 2 Months	20	
1-04	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked 3 Months	20	

	Table A-1-54: DSL - Mode of Entry Weights	
PO	Pre-Ordering	Weight
PO-1-06-6020	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI	5
PO-2-02-6020	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	<u>5</u>
PO-1-06-6030	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA	<u>5</u>
PO-2-02-6030	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	<u>2</u>
PO-1-06-6050	Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI	5
<u>PO-2-02-6050</u>	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	<u>2</u>
PO-8-01-2000	% On Time - Manual Loop Qualification	<u>2</u>
PO-8-02-2000	% On Time - Engineering Record Request	<u>2</u>
<u>OR</u>	Ordering	
<u>OR-1-04</u>	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
<u>OR-1-06</u>	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck (E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-04	% On Time LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	<u>2</u>
<u>OR-1-04-3342</u>	% On Time LSRC -No Facil Ck(E -No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	<u>5</u>
OR-1-06-3342 OR-2-04-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops % OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-2-06-3342	% On Time LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Check(Elec) -2W xDSL Loops	2
OR-1-04-3340	% Of LSRC -No Facility Check (E –No FT) -Line Share/Split	<u>2</u> 5
OR-1-06-3340	% On Time LSRC/ASRC -Facility Ck(E -No FT) -Line Share/Split	5
OR-2-04-3340	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2
OR-2-06-3340	% OT LSR/ASR Rej -Facility Ck(E- No FT) -Line Share/Split	2.
OR-4-11-3000	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN or BCN Sent	2
OR-4-16-3000	% On Time PCN - 1 Business Day	2
OR-4-17-3000	% On Time BCN - 2 Business Day	2
PR	Provisioning	
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-6-01	% Install. Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W Digital Loops -UNE/Resale	2
PR-8-01	Open Orders In Hold Status >30 Days -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
PR-3-10-3342	% Comp w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines) Tot-2W xDSL Loops	<u>10</u>
PR-4-02-3342	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	<u>10</u>
PR-4-14-3342	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops	<u>10</u>
PR-6-01-3342	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	<u>15</u>
PR-8-01-3342	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	<u>5</u>
<u>PR-3-03</u>	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines) No Disp -Line Share/Split (**benchmark/parity)	<u>10</u>
<u>PR-4-02</u>	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	<u>10</u>
<u>PR-4-04</u>	% Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split	<u>5</u>
PR-4-05	% Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split	10
<u>PR-6-01</u>	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split	<u>15</u>
<u>PR-8-01</u>	Open Orders in Hold Status >30 Days -Line Share/Split	<u>5</u>
<u>MR</u>	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-1-01-2000	Average Response Time - Create Trouble	2
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appt -Loop -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-3-02 MR-4-02	% Missed Repair Appt -CO -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-02 MR-4-03	Mean Time To Repair CO Trouble 2W Digital UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-03 MR-4-04	Mean Time To Repair-CO Trouble -2W Digital -UNE/Resale % Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-4-04 MR-4-07	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	2
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2w Digital -UNE/Resale	$\frac{2}{2}$
MR-3-01-3342	% Missed Repair Appt-Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-3-02-3342	% Missed Repair Appt-1-2009-24 xDSL Loops % Missed Repair Appointment -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-02-3342	Mean Time To Repair -Loop -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-03-3342	Mean Time To Repair -CO -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-04-3342	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	5
MR-4-07-3342	% Out of Service > 12 Hours -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-5-01-3342	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops	10
MR-3-01	% Missed Repair Appointment -Loop -Line Share/Split	5
MR-3-02	% Missed Repair Appointment -CO -Line Share/Split	5
MR-4-02	Mean Time To Repair - Loop - Line Share/Split	<u>5</u>
MR-4-03	Mean Time To Repair -CO -Line Share/Split	<u>5</u>
MR-4-04	% Cleared (all troubles) w/in 24 Hours -Line Share/Split	5

<u>MR-4-07</u>	% Out of Service > 12 Hours - Line Share/Split		<u>10</u>	
<u>MR-5-01</u>	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split		<u>10</u>	
		Total Weights For DSL MOF	<u>291</u>	

DO.	Pro Orderica	Weight	I
<u>PO</u> 1-06	Pre-Ordering Facility Available/Loop Qualification EDI		
1 00 1 06		<u>5</u>	
8 01	Facility Available/Loop Qualification-WEB GUI Average Response Time — Manual Loop Qualification	<u>5</u>	
8 02	Average Response Time — Engineering Record Response	5	
)	
<u>OR</u> 1-04	Ordering % OT LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) 2 Wire Digital	2	
1 04 1 04	% OT LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL	10	
1 04 1 04	% OT LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) - 2 wife xDSL % OT LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) - Line Share	10	
1 04 1 06	% Of LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Electronic) 2 Wire Digital	10 2	
1 00 1 06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — 2 Wire Digital % On Time LSRC/ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — 2 Wire xDSL	± 5	
1 00 1 06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — 2 wife xi555 % On Time LSRC/ASRC — Facility Check (Electronic) — Line Share	5	
1 00 2 04	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elect. No Flow Through) 2 Wire Digital	2	
$\frac{2.04}{2.04}$	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) 2 Wire Dightil % OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) 2 Wire xDSL	_	
2 04 2 04		10 10	
2 04 2 06	% OT LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check (Elec. No Flow Through) Line Share % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) 2 Wire Digital	10 2	
2 00 2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) 2 Wire xDSL	± 5	
2 00 2 06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check (Electronic) Line Share	5	
)	
<u>PR</u> 3-03	Provisioning	10	
	% Completed w/in 3 Days (1-5 lines Total) Line Share	<u>10</u>	
3 10 4 02	% Completed w/in 6 Days (1-5 lines-Total) 2Wire xDSL	10	
4-02	Average Delay Days Total 2 Wire Digital	2	
4-02	Average Delay Days - Total 2 Wire xDSL	10	
4-02	Average Delay Days - Total - Line Share	10	
4-04	% Missed Appointment VZ Dispatch 2 Wire Digital	2	
4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ Dispatch 2 Wire xDSL	20	
4-04	% Missed Appointment VZ Dispatch Line Share	5	
4-05	% Missed Appointment - VZ No Dispatch - Line Share	20	
6.01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days 2 Wire Digital	2	
6.01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days 2 Wire xDSL	10	
6 01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days Line Share	10	
MR 2002	Maintenance & Repair		
2.02	Network Trouble Report Rate Loop 2 Wire Digital	2	
2.02	Network Trouble Report Rate Loop 2 Wire xDSL	5	
2 02	Network Trouble Report Rate Loop Line Share	5	
2 03	Network Trouble Report Rate CO 2 Wire Digital	2	
2 03	Network Trouble Report Rate CO 2 Wire xDSL	5	
2 03	Network Trouble Report Rate CO Line Share	5	
3 01	% Missed Repair Appointments 2 Wire Digital	2	
3 01	% Missed Repair Appointments 2 Wire xDSL	20	
3-01	% Missed Repair Appointments - Line Share	20	
3-02	% Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office - 2 Wire Digital	2	
3 02	% Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office - 2 Wire xDSL	10	
3 02	% Missed Repair Appointments - Central Office - Line Share	10	
4-02	Mean Time to Repair Loop Trouble 2 Wire Digital	2	
4-02	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble 2 Wire xDSL	20	
4-02	Mean Time to Repair - Loop Trouble - Line Share	20	
4 03	Mean Time to Repair CO Trouble 2 Wire Digital	2	
4-03	Mean Time to Repair CO Trouble 2 Wire xDSL	10	
4 03	Mean Time to Repair CO Trouble Line Share	10	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days 2 Wire Digital	2	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days 2 Wire xDSL	10	
5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days Line Share	10	<u> </u>
		373	

2. Mode of Entry: Dollars At Risk – \$39,680,000

	Resale	UNE-Platform	UNE-Loop	<u>Trunks</u> DSL	Trunks DSL
Monthly	\$ <u>220,444</u> 440,889	<u>\$1,984,000</u>	\$ <u>440,889</u> 1,984,00	\$ <u>220,444</u> 44 0,889	\$440,889
			θ		
Annual	\$ <u>2,645,333</u> 5,290,6	\$23,808,000	\$ <u>5,290,667</u> 23,808,	\$ <u>2,645,333</u> 5,290,6	\$5,290,667
	67		000	67	

Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables: 3.

Table A-3-1: Resale

Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements <u>— Platform</u>

Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements – Loop

Table A-3-34: Interconnection Trunks

Table A-3-<u>54</u>: DSL

Table A-3-1: Resale

- ?? Maximum of <u>\$ 2,645,3335,290,667</u> per year
- ?? Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- ?? Minimum threshold = $\frac{-0.247150.16922}{}$
- ?? Mid-point between minimum and maximum = $\frac{-0.458580.41961}{}$

Score 1	Range	Monthly Dollars:
<	And ?	
	<u>-0.24715</u> -0.16922	\$0
<u>-0.24715</u> -0.16922	<u>-0.26941</u> -0.19558	\$44,089 <u>\$88,178</u>
<u>-0.26941</u> -0.19558	<u>-0.29166</u> - <u>0.22193</u>	<u>\$53,371</u> <u>\$106,742</u>
<u>-0.29166</u> <u>-0.22193</u>	<u>-0.31392</u> <u>-0.24829</u>	<u>\$62,653</u> \$125,305
<u>-0.31392</u> -0.24829	<u>-0.33617</u> <u>-0.27465</u>	\$71,935 <u>\$143,869</u>
<u>-0.33617</u> -0.27465	<u>-0.35843</u> <u>-0.30100</u>	\$81,217 <u>\$162,433</u>
<u>-0.35843</u> -0.30100	<u>-0.38068</u> -0.32736	\$90,498 \$180,996
<u>-0.38068</u> -0.32736	<u>-0.40294-0.35372</u>	\$99,780 \$199,560
<u>-0.40294-0.35372</u>	<u>-0.42519</u> -0.38007	\$109,062 \$218,124
-0.42519 -0.38007	-0.44745 -0.406 43	\$118,344 \$236,688
-0.4474 5-0.40643	-0.46970 -0.43279	\$127,626 <u>\$255,251</u>
-0.46970 -0.43279	-0.49196 -0.45915	\$136,908 \$273,815
<u>-0.49196</u> -0.45915	-0.51421 -0.48550	\$146,190 \$292,379
<u>-0.51421</u> <u>-0.48550</u>	<u>-0.53647</u> <u>-0.51186</u>	\$155,472 \$310,943
-0.53647 -0.51186	-0.55872 -0.53822	\$164,753 <u>\$329,506</u>
<u>-0.55872</u> <u>-0.53822</u>	-0.58098 -0.56457	\$174,035 <u>\$348,070</u>
-0.58098 -0.56457	-0.60323 -0.59093	\$183,317 \$366,634
<u>-0.60323</u> <u>-0.59093</u>	<u>-0.62549</u> - <u>0.61729</u>	\$192,599 \$385,198
-0.62549 -0.61729	<u>-0.64774-0.64364</u>	\$201,881 \$403,761
<u>-0.64774-0.64364</u>	-0.67000	\$211,163 \$422,325
-0.67000		\$220,444 <u>\$440,889</u>

Table A-3-2: Unbundled Network Elements -- Platform

- ?? Maximum of <u>\$ 23,808,000</u> per year
- ?? Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- ?? Minimum threshold = -0.252920.17129
- ?? Mid-point between minimum and maximum = $\frac{-0.461460.42065}{1}$

Score Ra	Score Range		
<	And ?		
	<u>-0.25292</u> -0.17129	\$0	
<u>-0.25292</u> - <u>0.17129</u>	<u>-0.27487</u> <u>-0.1975</u> 4	\$396,800	
<u>-0.27487</u> -0.19754	<u>-0.29682</u> - 0.22379	\$480,337	
<u>-0.29682</u> - <u>0.22379</u>	<u>-0.31877</u> - <u>0.25003</u>	\$563,874	
<u>-0.31877</u> - <u>0.25003</u>	<u>-0.34073</u> - <u>0.27628</u>	\$647,411	
<u>-0.34073</u> - <u>0.27628</u>	<u>-0.36268</u> - <u>0.30253</u>	\$730,947	
<u>-0.36268-0.30253</u>	<u>-0.38463</u> - <u>0.32878</u>	\$814,484	
<u>-0.38463</u> - <u>0.32878</u>	<u>-0.40658</u> - <u>0.35503</u>	\$898,021	
<u>-0.40658-0.35503</u>	<u>-0.42853</u> - <u>0.38127</u>	\$981,558	
<u>-0.42853</u> - <u>0.38127</u>	<u>-0.45048</u> - <u>0.40752</u>	\$1,065,095	
<u>-0.45048-0.40752</u>	<u>-0.47244</u> -0.43377	\$1,148,632	
<u>-0.47244</u> -0.43377	<u>-0.49439</u> - <u>0.46002</u>	\$1,232,168	
<u>-0.49439</u> - <u>0.46002</u>	<u>-0.51634-0.48626</u>	\$1,315,705	
<u>-0.51634-0.48626</u>	<u>-0.53829</u> - <u>0.51251</u>	\$1,399,242	
<u>-0.53829</u> - <u>0.51251</u>	<u>-0.56024-0.53876</u>	\$1,482,779	
<u>-0.56024-0.53876</u>	<u>-0.58219</u> - <u>0.56501</u>	\$1,566,316	
<u>-0.58219</u> - <u>0.56501</u>	<u>-0.60415</u> -0.59126	\$1,649,853	
<u>-0.60415</u> - <u>0.59126</u>	<u>-0.62610</u> - <u>0.61750</u>	\$1,733,389	
<u>-0.62610</u> - <u>0.61750</u>	<u>-0.64805</u> - <u>0.64375</u>	\$1,816,926	
<u>-0.64805</u> - <u>0.64375</u>	-0.67000	\$1,900,463	
-0.67000		\$1,984,000	

Table A-3-3: Unbundled Network Elements - Loop

- ?? <u>Maximum of \$ 5,290,667 per year</u>
- ?? Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- ?? Minimum threshold = -0.24862
- ?? <u>Mid-point between minimum and maximum = -0.45931</u>

Score	Range	Monthly Dollars:
≤	And ?	
	<u>-0.24862</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>-0.24862</u>	<u>-0.27080</u>	<u>\$88,178</u>
<u>-0.27080</u>	<u>-0.29298</u>	<u>\$106,742</u>
<u>-0.29298</u>	<u>-0.31515</u>	<u>\$125,305</u>
<u>-0.31515</u>	<u>-0.33733</u>	<u>\$143,869</u>
<u>-0.33733</u>	<u>-0.35951</u>	<u>\$162,433</u>
<u>-0.35951</u>	<u>-0.38169</u>	<u>\$180,996</u>
<u>-0.38169</u>	<u>-0.40387</u>	<u>\$199,560</u>
<u>-0.40387</u>	<u>-0.42604</u>	<u>\$218,124</u>
<u>-0.42604</u>	<u>-0.44822</u>	<u>\$236,688</u>
<u>-0.44822</u>	<u>-0.47040</u>	<u>\$255,251</u>
<u>-0.47040</u>	<u>-0.49258</u>	<u>\$273,815</u>
<u>-0.49258</u>	<u>-0.51475</u>	<u>\$292,379</u>
<u>-0.51475</u>	<u>-0.53693</u>	<u>\$310,943</u>
<u>-0.53693</u>	<u>-0.55911</u>	<u>\$329,506</u>
<u>-0.55911</u>	<u>-0.58129</u>	<u>\$348,070</u>
<u>-0.58129</u>	<u>-0.60347</u>	<u>\$366,634</u>
<u>-0.60347</u>	<u>-0.62564</u>	<u>\$385,198</u>
<u>-0.62564</u>	<u>-0.64782</u>	<u>\$403,761</u>
<u>-0.64782</u>	<u>-0.67000</u>	<u>\$422,325</u>
<u>-0.67000</u>		<u>\$440,889</u>

Table A-3-34: Interconnection Trunks

- ?? Maximum of <u>\$ 2,645,3335,290,667</u> per year
- ?? Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -1.00000
- ?? Minimum threshold = $\frac{-0.214290.31909}{1}$
- ?? Mid-point between minimum and maximum = $\frac{-0.607150.65955}{0.65955}$

Score Ra	Score Range		
<	And ?		
	<u>-0.21429</u> <u>-0.31909</u>	\$0	
<u>-0.21429</u> -0.31909	<u>-0.27473</u> <u>-0.37147</u>	<u>\$44,089</u> <u>\$88,178</u>	
<u>-0.27473</u> -0.371 47	<u>-0.33517</u> -0.42385	<u>\$57,655</u> \$115,309	
<u>-0.33517</u> -0.42385	<u>-0.39561</u> - <u>0.47622</u>	<u>\$71,221</u> <u>\$142,441</u>	
<u>-0.39561</u> - <u>0.47622</u>	<u>-0.45605</u> <u>-0.52860</u>	<u>\$84,787</u> \$169,573	
<u>-0.45605</u> - <u>0.52860</u>	<u>-0.51649</u> - <u>0.58098</u>	<u>\$98,352</u> \$196,70 4	
<u>-0.51649</u> - <u>0.58098</u>	<u>-0.57693</u> - <u>0.63336</u>	<u>\$111,918</u> <u>\$223,836</u>	
<u>-0.57693</u> - 0.63336	<u>-0.63736</u> -0.68573	<u>\$125,484</u> \$250,968	
<u>-0.63736</u> -0.68573	<u>-0.69780</u> -0.73811	<u>\$139,050</u> \$278,099	
<u>-0.69780</u> -0.73811	<u>-0.75824-0.79049</u>	<u>\$152,616</u> <u>\$305,231</u>	
<u>-0.75824</u> -0.79049	<u>-0.81868</u> - <u>0.84287</u>	<u>\$166,181</u> <u>\$332,362</u>	
<u>-0.81868</u> -0.84287	<u>-0.87912</u> - <u>0.8952</u> 4	<u>\$179,747</u> <u>\$359,494</u>	
<u>-0.87912</u> -0.8952 4	<u>-0.93956</u> - <u>0.94762</u>	<u>\$193,313</u> \$386,626	
<u>-0.93956</u> -0.94762	-1.00000	<u>\$206,879</u> \$413,757	
-1.00000		\$220,445 <u>\$440,889</u>	

Table A-3-45: DSL

- ?? Maximum of <u>\$ 5,290,667</u> per year
- ?? Maximum Credit Performance Score "X" = -0.67000
- ?? Minimum threshold = -0.230240.19705
- ?? Mid-point between minimum and maximum = $\frac{-0.450120.43353}{0.43353}$

Score Ra	Score Range			
<	And ?			
	<u>-0.23024-0.19705</u>	\$0		
<u>-0.23024-0.19705</u>	<u>-0.25339</u> -0.2219 4	\$88,178		
<u>-0.25339</u> - 0.22194	<u>-0.27653</u> -0.24683	\$106,742		
<u>-0.27653</u> <u>-0.24683</u>	<u>-0.29968</u> -0.27173	\$125,305		
<u>-0.29968</u> -0.27173	<u>-0.32282</u> -0.29662	\$143,869		
<u>-0.32282</u> -0.29662	-0.34597 -0.32151	\$162,433		
<u>-0.34597</u> -0.32151	<u>-0.36911</u> -0.34640	\$180,996		
<u>-0.36911</u> -0.34640	<u>-0.39226</u> -0.37129	\$199,560		
-0.39226 -0.37129	-0.41540 -0.39619	\$218,124		
-0.41540 -0.39619	-0.43855 -0.42108	\$236,688		
<u>-0.43855</u> <u>-0.42108</u>	<u>-0.46169</u> -0.44597	\$255,251		
<u>-0.46169</u> -0. 44597	<u>-0.48484-0.47086</u>	\$273,815		
-0.4848 4-0.47086	-0.50798 -0.49576	\$292,379		
-0.50798 -0.49576	-0.53113 -0.52065	\$310,943		
-0.53113 -0.52065	-0.55427 -0.5455 4	\$329,506		
-0.55427 -0.5455 4	-0.57742 -0.570 43	\$348,070		
<u>-0.57742</u> - <u>0.57043</u>	<u>-0.60056-0.59532</u>	\$366,634		
<u>-0.60056</u> -0.59532	-0.62371 -0.62022	\$385,198		
<u>-0.62371</u> - <u>0.62022</u>	<u>-0.64685</u> - <u>0.64511</u>	\$403,761		
-0.64685 -0.64511	-0.67000	\$422,325		
-0.67000		\$440,889		

APPENDIX B

		ı

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

Critical Measures Table B-1

ightharpoonup	<u> </u>	Wieasures Table D-1	TIME DI 46	TIME T	D I	DCT	TD 1	C	04	m ·	
_		CRITICAL MEASURES	<u>UNE-Platform</u>	UNE-Loop	Resale	<u>DSL</u>	<u>Trunks</u>	<u>Specials</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Tota</u>	<u>al</u>
_		PRE-ORDERING	407.020	φ4.44.0.C.4	0110.006	0110.006					
1	PO-1-06	OSS Interface Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI	<u>\$495,928</u>	<u>\$141,064</u>	<u>\$110,206</u>	\$110,206		ļ	1	<u>\$8</u>	857,404
	PO-1-06 PO-1-06	Mechanized Loop Qualification - EDI Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA				36,735 36,735		ļ	1		
	PO-1-06	Mechanized Loop Qualification - CORBA Mechanized Loop Qualification - Web GUI				36,735		ļ	1		
	PO-2-02	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - EDI	165,309	47,021	55,103	30,/33		ļ	1		
	PO-2-02	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - CORBA	165,309	47,021	33,103			ļ	1		
	PO-2-02 PO-2-02	OSS Interface Availability - Prime - Web GUI	165,309	47,021	55.103			ļ	1		
-	1 0-2-02	ORDERING	103,309	47,021	33,103						
			\$40 7 020	\$1.41.0 <i>C</i> .4	\$110.20 <i>C</i>	\$110.20 <i>C</i>	\$105 5 00	\$21.50		0.0	004565
4	OR-1-02	% On Time Ordering Notification % On Time LSRC -Flow Through	\$495,928 330,619	\$141,064 117,553	\$110,206 73,471	<u>\$110,206</u>	<u>\$105,798</u>	<u>\$21,562</u>	1	<u> 3</u> 2	984,765
	OR-1-02	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl	330,019	117,333	73,471	12,245		ļ	1		
	OR-1-04 OR-1-04	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				30.613		ļ	1		
	OR-1-04	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-Ln Share/Split				30,613		ļ	1		
	OR-1-12	% On Time FOC				30,013	26,449	ļ	1		
	OR-1-12	% On Time Design Layout Record					52.899	l	I		
•	OR-1-19	% OT RespReq. for Inbound Aug. (<=192)					26,449	l	I		
	OR-2-04	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2Wdig-UNE/Rsl				12,245	20,772	l	I		
•	OR-2-04	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-2W xDSL Loops				12,245		ļ	1		
	OR-2-04	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT) -Ln Share/Split				12,245		ļ	1		
•	OR-4-16	% On Time PCN - 1 Bus. Day	165,309		36,735	12,213		ļ	1		
	OR + 10	70 On Time I CIV I Bus. Buy	103,307	23,511	30,733			ļ	1		
	OR-1-04	%OT LSRC-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All Spcls-UNE/Rsl		23,511				7,187	1		
•	OR-1-06	%OT LSRC/ASRC-Fac Ck(E-No FT)-All SpcIs-UNE/Rsl						7,187	1		
	OR-2-04	%OT LSR Rej-No Fac Ck(E-No FT)-UNE/Resale						3,594	1		
	OR-2-06	%OT LSR/ASR Rej-Fac Ck (Elec) – UNE/Resale						3,594	1	li	i l
		PROVISIONING	<u>I</u>							-	
3		Installation Performance	\$495,928	\$141,064	\$110,206	\$110,206	\$105,798	\$81,936		\$1.0	045,138
	PR-3-01	% Completed in 1 Day (1-5 lines No Disp.)	41,327	<u> </u>	8,477				1		
	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total	123,982	20,152	25,432			ļ	1		
	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total - 2W Digital				2,656		ļ	1		
	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total - 2W xDSL Loop				13,278		ļ	1		
	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split				13,278		ļ	1		
	PR-4-04	% Missed Appointments –Dispatch	82,655	80,608	16,955			l	I		
	PR-4-04	% Missed Appts - Disp - 2W Digital UNE/Resale				2,656		l	I		
	PR-4-04	% Missed Appts - Disp - Line Share/Split				6,639		l	I		
	PR-4-05	% Missed Appointments - No Dispatch	165,309		33,910			l	I		ļ
	PR-4-05	% Missed Appt -No Disp -2W Digital -UNE/Resale				2,656		l	I		
	PR-4-05	% Missed Appt -No Disp -Line Share/Split				13,278		l	I		ļ
	PR-4-14	% Completed On Time - 2W xDSL Loops				13,278		l	I		
	PR-4-15	% On Time Provisioning – Trunks					70,532	ŀ	I		
	PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles w/in 30 Days	82,655	40,304	25,432	_	35,266	l	I		
	PR-6-01	% Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W Digital Loop -UNE/Resale				2,656		l	I		
	PR-6-01	OVER A HITTILL AND COMMENCE AND						l	I		
	DD 4 04	% Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -2W xDSL Loops				<u>19,917</u>		ŀ	I		
	PR-6-01	0/ I - 11 m 1 - / 20 D - I - (1 - / 2 - /				10.01		ŀ	I		
1 L	D 4.01	% Install Trbls w/in 30 Days -Line Share/Split				<u>19,917</u>		0.70	I		
	R-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - DSO - UNE/Resale					,	3,594	I		
	R-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ-DS1 -UNE/Resale					.	3,594	I		
1 P	R-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ-DS3 -UNE/Resale						3,594	1	1	
-	R-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -Other -UNE/Resale				l		3,594	1		

PR-4-02 PR-5-01 PR-5-02 PR-6-01 PR-8-01 PR-9-01 Wallation Troubles within 7 days - Hot Cut PR-9-01 Wallation Troubles Within 7 days - Hot Cut PR-9-01 Wallation Troubles Within 7 days - Hot Cut PR-9-01 Wallation Troubles Within 7 days - Hot Cut		<u>\$141,064</u>			<u>\$105,798</u>	3,594 14,375 14,375 7,187 3,594 7,187 3,594 1,437 7,187 3,594 1,437		\$ 05,798 \$ 41,064
MR-3-01 Maintenace Performance MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Bus. MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appointments - Loop - Res. MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appointments - Loop MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appointments - Loop MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appointments - Loop MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - 2W xDSL Loops MR-3-01 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-04 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-04 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-04 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-04 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-04 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-08 MR-4-08 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-4-08 MR-4-08 Missed Repair Appoint - Loop - Line Share/Split MR-5-01 Missed Repair - Notal Missed Repair Notal Missed Repair Notal	\$ 495,928 123,982 123,982 61,991 61,991 123,982	\$141,064 56,426	\$110,206 27,552 27,552 13,776 13,776 27,552	\$110,206 4,792 11,979 4,792 11,979 11,979 4,792 23,958 23,958	\$105,798 35,266 70,532 \$105,798	\$28,749 3,594 3,594 3,594 3,594 3,594 7,187	\$88,16 <u>5</u>	\$105,798 \$88,165
NP-2-01/2 % OT Response to Request for Collocation - Total NP-2-05/6 % On Time - Physical Collocation - Total NP-2-07/8 Average Delay Days - Total							39,011 45,253 3,901	

	RESOLUTION PROCESS								
9	Resolution Process							<u>\$44,083</u>	\$44,083
OR-	10-01 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days							24,509	
OR-	10-02 % PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days							9,804	
BI-3	-04 % CLEC Billing Claims Acknwldgd w/ 2 Bus Days							919	
BI-3	-05 %CLEC Billng Claims Rslvd w/in 28 Cal. Days after Ack.							8,850	
	Month Total	\$1,983,712	\$705 320	\$440.825	\$440.825	\$528,000	¢122 248	\$132,248	\$4.864.167
-			φ/05,320			\$528,990	\$132,248		φ 4,004,10 7
	Annual Total	<u>\$23,804,545</u>	<u>\$8,,463,838</u>	<u>\$5,289,899</u>	<u>\$5,289,899</u>	<u>\$6,347,879</u>	<u>\$1,586,970</u>	<u>\$ 1,586,970</u>	<u>\$52,370,000</u>

Under the provisions of the Plan, -1 performance scores are subject to adjustment based on the next two month's performance.

Note B: All bill credits in this section are at risk each month. Any bill credits assigned to a sub-metric that has no activity or is under development will be divided proportionately among the sub-metrics in the respective critical measures.

Note C: For Critical Measure No. 5 "Hot Cut Performance." No allocation of available bill credits is made between the sub-measures. If one sub-measures warrants an adjustment, the market adjustment percentage is applied to the entire amount of bill credits available. If both sub-measures indicate that bill credits are due to CLECs, the lower score will be used to calculate the bill credits due.

Table B 1: Critical Measures:

CR		Verizon	Resale	<u>UNE</u>	Trunks	<u>Collocation</u>	<u>DSL</u>	Total
#	Metric	CRITICAL MEASURES	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		PRE-ORDERING						
1		OSS Interface	88,169	195,930			62,978	347,077
	PO 1 01	Customer Service Record EDI	20,347	45,215				
	PO 1 01	Customer Service Record CORBA	6,782	15,072				
	PO 1 01	Customer Service Record WEB GUI	6,782	15,072				
	PO 1 06	Facility Availibility (Loop Qualification) EDI					31,489	
	PO 1 06	Facility Availibility (Loop Qualification) WEB					31,489	
	PO 2 02	OSS Interface Availability Prime EDI	27,129	60,286				
	PO 2 02	OSS Interface Availability Prime CORBA	13,564	30,143				
	PO 2 02	OSS Interface Availability Prime WEB GUI	13,564	30,143				
		ORDERING						
2		% On Time Ordering Notification	88,169	195,930			62,978	347,077
	OR 1 02	% On Time LSRC Flow Through POTS 2hrs	25,191	55,980				
	OR 1 04	% OT LSRC<10 Lines (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	6,298	13,995				
	OR 1 04	% On Time LSRC <10 Lines (E) 2Wire xDSL					15,744	
	OR 1 04	% On Time LSRC <10 Lines (E) DSL Line Share					15,744	
	OR 1 06	% OT LSRC >=10 Lines (Electronic) POTS	6,298	13,995				
	OR 2 02	% On Time LSR Reject Flow Through POTS	18,893	41,985				
	OR 2 04	% OT LSR Rej.<10 lines (Elec. No Flow Through) POTS	6,298	13,995				
	OR 2 04	% OT LSRC Reject <10 Lines (E) 2Wire xDSL					15,744	
	OR 2 04	% OT LSRC Rej. <10 Lines (E) DSL Line Share					15,744	
	OR 2 06	% On Time LSR Reject >= 10 Lines (Elec.) - POTS	6,298	13,995				
	OR 4 09	% SOP to Bill Completion Sent w/in 3 Bus. Days	18,893	41,985				
		PROVISIONING						

3		% Completed				62,978	62,978
	PR 3 03	% Comp. w/in 3 Days (1 5 lines) Tot. Line Share				31,489	
	PR 3 10	% Comp. w/in 6 Days (1 5 lines) Tot. 2Wire				31,489	
		*DSL					
4 a	PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total - EEL		195,930			195,930
4b		% Missed Appointment	88,169	195,930	192,869	62,978	539,946
	PR 4-01	% Missed Appointment VZ Total Specials	22,042	97,965			
	PR 4 01	% Missed Appointment VZ Total Trunks			192,869		
	PR 4 02	Average Delay Days Total 2Wire xDSL				10,496	
	PR 4 02	Average Delay Days Total DSL Line Share				10,496	
	PR 4 04	% Missed Appointment VZ Total Dispatch	22,042				
		POTS					
	PR 4 04	% Missed Appt. VZ Total Dispatch New		97,965			
	DD 4.04	Loops				20.002	
	PR 4 04	% Missed Appointment Dispatch 2Wire xDSL	11.001			20,993	
	PR 4 05	% Missed Appt. VZ Total No Dispatch POTS	44,084			20.002	
	PR 4 05	% Missed Appt. No Disp. DSL Line Share				20,993	
5	PR-4-05	% Missed Appt VZ - No Disp Platform		195,930			195,930
6		Hot Cut Performance		391,861			391,861
	PR 9 01	% OT Hot Cut (adj. for missed appts. due to late					
		LSRC)					
	PR 6 02	% Troubles within 7 Days Hot Cut					
7	PR-4-07	% On Time Performance - UNE LNP			192,869		192,869
		MAINTENANCE					
8		Missed Repair Appts.				62,978	62,978
	MR 3 01	% Missed Repair Appt. (Loop) 2Wire xDSL				31,489	
	MR 3 01	% Missed Repair Appt. (Loop) DSL Line Share				31,489	

CR		Verizon	Resale	UNE	<u>Trunks</u>	Collocation	<u>DSL</u>	<u>Total</u>
#	Metric	CRITICAL MEASURES	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
9		Mean Time To Repair	88,169	195,930	192,869		62,978	539,946
	MR 4 01	Mean Time To Repair Specials	29,390	65,310				
	MR 4 01	Mean Time To Repair Trunks			192,869			
	MR 4 02	Mean Time To Repair Loop 2Wire xDSL					31,489	
	MR 4 02	Mean Time To Repair Loop Line Share					31,489	
	MR 4 02	Mean Time To Repair Loop Trouble	22,042	48,983				
	MR 4 03	Mean Time To Repair Central Office	7,347	16,328				
	MR 4 08	% Out Of Service > 24 Hours POTS	29,390	65,310				
10		% Repeat Reports within 30 Days	88,169	195,930			62,978	347,077
	MR 5 01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days POTS	44,084	97,965				
	MR 5 01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days Specials	44,084	185,185				
	MR 5 01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days Total 2Wire xDSL					31,489	
	MR 5 01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 Days Tot. DSL Line Share					31,489	
		NETWORK PERFORMANCE						
11		Final Trunk Groups Blocked			192,869			192,869
	NP 1 03	Blocked 2 months			64,290			
	NP 1 04	Blocked 3 months			128,579			
12		Collocation				154,295		154,295
	NP 2 01/2	% On Time Response to Request for Collocation				23,557		
	NP 2 05/6	% On Time Collocation				117,783		
	NP 2 07/8	Average Delay Days				12,956		
		Total Dollars at Risk-Monthly	440,844	1,763,374	771,476	154,295	440,844	3,570,833
		Total Dollars at Risk - Annually	5,290,123	21,160,494	9,257,716	1,851,543	5,290,123	42,850,000

All bill credits in this section are at risk each month. Any bill credits assigned to a submetric that has no activity or is under development will be divided proportionately among the submetrics in the respective critical measures.

Critical Measures Table B-2

Weights for Network Performance, Resolution Timeliness and Specials

Network Perf	<u>ormance</u>	<u>Weight</u>
Maximum of	\$1,057,980 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)	
NP-2-01/2	% OT Response to Request for Collocation – Total	<u>5</u>
NP-2-05/6	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total	<u>20</u>
NP-2-07/8	<u>Average Delay Days – Total</u>	<u>10</u>
	Total	35

Resolution Tim	<u>reliness</u>		<u>Weight</u>
Maximum of \$5	528,990 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)		
OR-10-01	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Bus Days		<u>5</u>
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10 Bus Days		<u>2</u>
<u>BI-3-04</u>	% CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days		<u>2</u>
<u>BI-3-05</u>	% CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Ack.		<u>20</u>
		Γotal	29

<u>Specials</u>		<u>Weight</u>
Maximum of \$1,5	586,970 at risk annually (1/12 in each month)	
	Ordering	
OR-1-04	% OT LSRC -No Facil Ck(ElecNo FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale	10
OR-1-06	% OT LSRC/ASRC -Facil Ck(E -No FT) -All Specials -UNE/Resale	
OR-2-04	% OT LSR Rej -No Facil Ck (ElecNo FT) -UNE/Resale	5
OR-2-06	% OT LSR/ASR Reject -Facil Check (Electronic) -UNE/Resale	5
	Provisioning	
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment - VZ -DSO -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS1 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01	% Missed Appointment -VZ -Other -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total -UNE/Resale	5
PR-5-01	% Missed Appointment - Facilities -UNE/Resale	20
PR-5-02	% Orders Held for Facilities > 15 days -UNE/Resale	20
PR-6-01	% Installation Troubles within 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
PR-8-01	Open Orders in a Hold Status > 30 Days -UNE/Resale	5
PR-4-01-3510	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – EEL	10
PR-4-02-3510	Average Delay Days - Total – EEL	5
PR-8-01-3510	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –EEL	2
PR-4-01-3530	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Total – IOF	10
PR-4-02-3530	Average Delay Days – IOF	5
PR-8-01-3530	Open Orders in a Hold Status >30 Days –IOF	2
	Maintenance & Repair	
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-01	Mean Time to Repair - hohbso & bso -one/Resale Mean Time to Repair - DS1 & DS3 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 4 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 -UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - nonDS0 & DS0 - UNE/Resale	5
MR-4-06	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - hohbso & bso - one/Resale	5
MR-4-08	% Out of Service > 24 Hours - DS1 & DS3 - UNE/Resale	5
MR-5-01	% Repeat Reports w/in 30 days -UNE/Resale	10
WIK-3-U1		Total 184
		10tai 104

Table B-2: Collocation Critical Measure #12 Allocation Weights

NP-	Network Performance	Weight
2 01	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation New	10
2.01	% OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation Augment	10
2.02	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation New	10
2.02	% OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation Augment	10
2 05	% On Time Physical Location New	20
2 05	% On Time Physical Location Augment	20
2 06	% On Time Virtual Location New	20
2 06	% On Time Virtual Location Augment	20
2 07	Average Delay Days Physical New	20
2 07	Average Delay Days Physical Augment	20
2 08	Average Delay Days Virtual New	20
2 08	Average Delay Days Virtual-Augment	20
		200

APPENDIX C

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

Performance Scores for Measures with Absolute Standards:

Table C-1

Metric #'s	Measure	0	-1	-2
PO-1 and	OSS Response Time Measures	? 4 second difference	> 4 and ? 6 second	> 6 second difference
MR-1 ¹	Excluding WEB GUI		difference	
PO-1 ²	OSS Response Time Measures for WEB	? 7 second difference	> 7 and ? 9 second	> 9 second difference
	GUI		difference	
PO-2-02	OSS System Availability – Prime	? 99.5%	? 98 and < 99.5%	< 98%
See Table ³	Metrics with 95% standards	? 95%	? 90 and < 95%	< 90%
PO-3	% Answered within 30 Seconds –	? 80%	? 75 and < 80%	< 75%
	Ordering & Repair			
<u>OR-4-11</u>	% Completed Orders with Neither a PCN	<u>?0.25%</u>	>0.25% and ? 1%	<u>>1%</u>
	or BCN Sent			
OR-10-02	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 10	<u>? 99%</u>	? 94 and < 99%	<u>< 94%</u>
	Business Days			10-1
PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ – Dispatch - 2 Wire xDSL	<u>?</u> ? 5%	> 5% and ?10%	> 10%
PR-6-02	Installation Troubles within 7 Days – Hot	?? 2%	> 2% and ?3%	> 3%
	Cuts	_		
NP-2-07	Collocation – Average Delay Days	? 6 Days	> 6 and ? 15 Days	> 15 Days
NP-2-08	- <u>Total New</u>	-		
NP 2 07	Collocation Average Delay Days	? 3.5 Days	> 3.5 and ? 12.5 Days	> 12.5 Days
NP 2 08	-Augment		Ĭ	
NP-1-03	# of Final Trunk Groups Blocked for 2	Final Interconnection	Any individual Final	Any individual Final
NP-1-04	and 3 Months	Trunks meeting or	Interconnection Trunk	Interconnection Trunk
		exceeding blocking	group exceeding blocking	group exceeding blocking
		standard for one month	standard for 2 months in a	standard for 3 months in a

¹ Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06, MR-1-01, MR-1-03, MR-1-04 and MR-1-06 for EDI and CORBA interfaces

² Includes PO-1-01, PO-1-02, PO-1-03, PO-1-04, PO-1-05, PO-1-06 for the WEB GUI interface

The list of Metrics with a 95% Standard appears in Table C-2on the following page.

			row	row
PR 6-02	% Installation Troubles reported within 7	? 2%	> 2 and ? 3%	> 3%
	Days Hot Cut loop			

Example: If Verizon_MA were to perform at 97.0% for PO-2-02- OSS System Availability – Prime, in a month, then the performance score would be –2 for that measure.

Table C-21-1: Performance Metrics with 95% Performance Standard:

<u>PO</u>	Pre-Ordering
8-01	Average Response Time – Manual Loop Qualification
8-02	Average Response Time – Engineering Record Response
ΩĐ	Ordonina
<u>OR</u>	Ordering
1-02	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - POTS/Pre-qualified Complex - 2hrs
<u>1-02</u>	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - Platform - 2hrs
<u>1-02</u>	% On Time LSRC - Flow Through - Loop/Pre-qualified - 2hrs
1-04	% OT LSRC<10 Lines No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - POTS/Pre-qualified Complex
<u>1-04</u>	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Platform
<u>1-04</u>	% OT LSRC/ASRC - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) — Loop/LNP
1-04	% OT LSRC <u>/ASRC <10 Lines- No Facility Check</u> (ElecNo Flow Through) - Specials
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC <10 Lines - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire
1.04	Digital — UNE/Resale
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC < 10 Lines - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL Loops
1-04	% OT LSRC/ASRC < 10 Lines - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line
	Share/Line Split
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC == 10 Lines Facility Check (Electronic No Flow Through) -
1-06	POTS/Pre-qualified Comple x % On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Platform
1-06 1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) – Loop/LNP
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC >=10 Lines - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) -
1-00	Specials
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC >=10 Lines - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) - 2
1.06	Wire Digital—UNE/Resale
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ <u>ASRC</u> >=10 <u>Lines</u> <u>Facility Check</u> (Electronic- <u>No Flow Through</u>) − 2 Wire xDSL <u>Loops</u>
1-06	% On Time LSRC/ASRC >=10 Lines - Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) -
	Line Share/Line Split
1-12	% On Time Firm Order Confirmations
1-13	% On Time Design Layout Record
<u>1-19</u>	% On Time Response - Request for Inbound Augment (<=192)
<u>2-12</u>	% On Time Trunk ASR Reject
2-02	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through – POTS/Pre-qualified Complex
<u>2-02</u>	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Platform
<u>2-02</u>	% On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through - Loop/Pre-qualified
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej. <10 lines No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – POTS/Pre-
<u>2-04</u>	qualified Complex % OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Platform
<u>2-04</u>	% OT LSR/ASR Rej No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) Loop/LNP
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej. 10 lines - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) – Specials
2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej. <10 lines No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire
•	Digital <u>- UNE/Resale</u>
2-04	% OT LSR/ <u>ASR</u> Rej. <u>10 lines - No Facility Check</u> (ElecNo Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL_ <u>Loops</u>

2-04	% OT LSR/ASR Rej. <10 lines - No Facility Check (ElecNo Flow Through) - Line
2-06	Share <u>/Line Split</u> % On Time LSR/ <u>ASR</u> Reject >= 10 Lines - No Facility Check (Electronic - No Flow Through) - POTS/ Pre-qualified Complex
<u>2-06</u>	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) – Platform
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) - Loop/LNP
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject >= 10 Lines-Facility Check (Electronic-No Flow Through) - Specials
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject >= 10 Lines - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) - 2 Wire Digital - UNE/Resale
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject >= 10 Lines - Facility Check (Electronic -No Flow Through) - 2 Wire xDSL Loops
2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject >= 10 Lines Facility Check (Electronic No Flow Through) - Line Share/Line Split
2-12	% On Time Trunk ASR Reject
4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days
<u>4-16</u>	% On time PCN – 1 Business Day
<u>4-17</u>	% On time BCN – 2 Business Days
<u>10-01</u>	% PON Exceptions Resolved w/in 3 Business Days
5-03	% Flow Through Achieved <u>- POTS</u>
<u>6-03</u>	% Accuracy - LSRC – POTS
<u>6-03</u>	% Accuracy - LSRC - Platform
<u>6-03</u>	% Accuracy - LSRC - Loop
<u>PR</u>	Provisioning
<u>PR</u> 3-03	Provisioning % Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split
<u>3-03</u>	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split
3-03 3-10	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops
3-03 3-10 4-07	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share /Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share /Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement.
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. Network Performance
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. Network Performance % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05 NP 2-01 2-01	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share/Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL_Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. Network Performance % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - Augment
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05 NP 2-01 2-01 2-02	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. Network Performance % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - Augment % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - New
3-03 3-10 4-07 4-14 6-02 9-01 BI 1-02 3-04 3-05 NP 2-01 2-01 2-02 2-02	% Completed within 3 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - Line Share Line Split % Completed within 6 Days (1-5 lines) - Total - 2 Wire xDSL Loops % On Time Performance - LNP only % Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops % Installation Troubles Within 7 Days - Hot Cut % On Time Performance - Hot Cut Billing % DUF in 4 Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Acknowledged within Two Business Days % CLEC Billing Claims Resolved w/in 28 Calendar Days after Acknowledgement. Network Performance % OT Response to Request for Physical Collocation - New % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - New % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - New % OT Response to Request for Virtual Collocation - Augment

Table C-1-2: Allowable Misses Small Sample Size Scoring Procedures for Small Sample Sizes for

Counted Variable Performance Measures with Absolute Standards <u>for Use</u> on a CLEC Aggregate <u>Results Basis Only</u>

A. Allowable Misses:

For counted variables with benchmark standards, it is possible to have small sample sizes, such that just a single missed transaction within a report period can cause the measure to miss its benchmark. The plan recognizes that without an allowance for a single miss, the plan would effectively require perfection to avoid bill credits, which would be above the designated benchmark for the measure. Also, a single missed transaction does not demonstrate that the measure's performance warrants a performance score of either a "-1" or a "-2". Thus a "zero weight" will be assigned in any single miss situations as specified by the criteria below. This deems the measure as neither a "pass" nor a "miss" for the purposes of bill credit calculations. In addition, if there are only 2 missed transactions in any small sample situation described below, a performance score of –1 will be assigned to the measure, again due to the minimal number of missed transactions.

For Counted Variables with Benchmark Standards that have a small number of observations in a data month, the following scoring procedures will be used at the CLEC aggregate level only:

For counted variable metrics where higher performance is better ("HIB"), e.g., 95% on-time, or a 0.95 standard:

<u>- for any HIB counted variable metric where $n < \{1/[1-standard]\}$, (for example, for a 95% standard, n < (1/[1-0.95]) or n < 20)</u>

0 misses is a "0" performance score

1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score

2 misses is a "-1" performance score

more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score

For counted variable metrics where lower performance is better ("LIB"), e.g., 5% missed appts, or a 0.05 standard:

- for any LIB counted variable metric where $n < \{1/[standard]\}$, (for example, for a 5% standard, n < (1/0.05) or n < 20)

0 misses is a "0" performance score

1 miss is a zero weight with no performance score

2 misses is a "-1" performance score

more than 2 misses is a "-2" performance score

? If less than 20 items, find volume of items measured in Sample Size Column.

- ? If the number of misses falls under the Zero weight column, then the performance measure is given a weight of zero and not counted towards the total performance score.
- ? If the number of misses falls in the "0" column, a performance score of 0 is given the performance metric.
- ? If the number of misses falls into the "1" column, the performance score for the metric I
- ? If the number of misses falls into the 2 column, the performance score is 2.
- ? "NA" is not applicable

Examples of what should be reported in the performance scores column for measures with a 95% or a 5% Sstandard are shown in the table below for different combinations of misses and sample sizes:

	Number of Misses			
Sample Size	<u>0</u>	1	2	3 or more
<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	-2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2
8	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>9</u>	0	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>10</u>	0	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	-2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2
<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u> -2
<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	Blank, Zero weight	<u>-1</u>	<u>-2</u>

Sample Size	Zero Weight	0	-1	-2
4	4	θ	NA	NA
2	4	θ	2	NA
3	4	θ	2	3
4	4	θ	2	3+
5	4	θ	2	3+
6	4	θ	2	3+
7	4	θ	2	3+
8	1	0	2	3+

9	1	θ	2	3+
10	4	θ	2	3+
44	4	θ	2	3+
12	4	θ	2	3+
43	4	θ	2	3+
14	4	θ	2	3+
15	1	θ	2	3+
16	4	θ	2	3+
17	1	θ	2	3 +
18	4	θ	2	3+
19	4	θ	2	3 +
20	NA	? 1	2	3 +

B. CLEC Exception Process

Each month each CLEC will have the right to challenge the allowable misses or exclusions that Verizon_-MA may exercise pursuant to the small sample size table for performance measures with absolute standards. If a CLEC exercises this right, it must file a petition with the Department demonstrating that the exclusion will have a significant impact on the operations of the CLEC's business and that Verizon_-MA should not be allowed to exclude the event pursuant to the above table. Verizon_-MA will have a right to respond to any such challenge by the CLEC. The Timeline for CLEC Exceptions will be the same as the Timeline for Verizon_-MA Exceptions under the small sample size section in Appendix D. If a CLEC's Exception Petition is granted, the appropriate bill credits will be reflected on the CLEC's bill as soon as is practical.

APPENDIX D

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A. Statistical Methodologies:

The Performance Assurance Plan uses statistical methodologies as one means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the wholesale service performance for CLECs is equivalent to the performance for Verizon_MA (Incumbent LEC). Verizon MA may be required to use statistical methodologies as a means to determine if "parity" exists, or if the performance for competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) is equivalent to the performance for Verizon MA. For performance measures where "parity" is the standard and sufficient sample size exists, Verizon MA will use the "modified t statistic" proposed by a number of CLECs in LCUG (Local Competitors User Group) for measured variables. For the evaluation of parity metrics involving counted variables, the permutation test, also known as Fisher's exact test, will be used. The specific definitions and formulas are detailed below:

Definitions and Formulas:

Measured Variables are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair, or average interval.

Counted Variables are metrics of proportions, such as percent measures.

X denotes the average performance or mean of the sample

S denotes the standard deviation

n denotes the sample size

p denotes the proportion of failed performance, for percentages 10% translates to a 0.10 proportion

Values calculated for a Zz-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or greater than 5.0000 will be displayed on monthly reports as 5.0000 and values for a Zz-statistic or t-statistic that are equal to or less than -5.0000 will be displayed as -5.0000.

A statistical score below –1.645 is associated with a 5% percent or less chance that the performance for the CLEC will be incorrectly judged as being inferior to the Verizon MA, when, in fact, the performance for the CLEC is superior (Type I error). Note: For the purposes of the statistical evaluation of measured variable sample sizes of 30 or more, the standard normal Z distribution is used as reasonably approximating Student's t distribution.

Counted Variables: The statistical score equivalent for counted variables is the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as the significance probability of the permutation test (a.k.a., Fisher's exact test). Specifically, the statistical score equivalent refers to the inverse of the standard normal cumulative distribution associated with the following hypergeometric distribution probability of seeing the number of failures, or greater in the CLEC sample.

$$1? \overset{?}{\underset{?}{\overset{?}{?}}} \underset{i \in P_{clec}}{\underbrace{n_{clec} p_{clec}?1}} \underbrace{\frac{3}{2} [n_{clec} p_{clec}? n_{inc} p_{inc}]}_{\underset{?}{?}} \underbrace{\frac{3}{2} [n_{clec} p_{clec}? n_{inc} p_{inc}]}_{\underset{?}{?}} \underbrace{\frac{3}{2} [n_{clec} p_{clec}? n_{inc} p_{inc}]}_{\underset{?}{?}} \underbrace{\frac{3}{2} [n_{clec}? n_{inc}]}_{\underset{?}{?}} \underbrace{\frac$$

Measured Variables: The statistical score is the LCUG-t score

$$t ? \frac{\overline{X}_{inc} ? \overline{X}_{clec}}{\sqrt{S_{inc}^{2} ? \frac{1}{n_{inc}} ? \frac{1}{n_{clec}} ?}}$$

Note: If the metric is one where a higher mean or higher percentage signifies better performance, the means (measured variables) in the numerator of the LCUG t formula should be reversed.

B. Sample Size Requirements:

SMALL SAMPLE SIZE

The assumptions that underlie the statistical models used here include the requirement that the two groups of data are comparable. With larger sample sizes, differences in characteristics associated with individual customers are more likely to average out. With smaller sample sizes, there may be an issue regarding whether or not the characteristics of the sample reasonably represent the population. In order to permit meaningful statistical analysis to be performed and confident conclusions to be drawn, the sample size must be sufficiently large to minimize the violations of the assumptions underlying the statistical model. This involves not only statistical considerations, but also requires some practical judgement. The following will indicate the minimum sample sizes below which parity metrics results (for both counted and measured variables) may not permit reasonable statistical conclusions.

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions:

If there are only 6 of one group (Verizon MA or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other is to be used for statistical evaluation.

A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Department for further evaluation. A statistical score will not be reported; however, the means (or proportions), number of observations, standard deviation (for means only) and sampling error will be reported.

MEASURED VARIABLES WITH SAMPLE SIZE LESS THAN 30

If either the CLEC or Verizon MA sample size is less than 30 for a measured variable and if the sample sizes exceed the minimum sample sizes described above, then the following statistical evaluation procedure will be used:

If the absolute performance for the CLEC is better than the Verizon MA performance, no statistical analysis is required. When a measured variable that is evaluated for parity does not require a permutation test because the number of Verizon or CLEC observations in a month is less than 30 and the CLEC performance is not worse than the corresponding Verizon retail performance, the LCUG-t scores will be displayed in the statistical score column.

- a.) If the performance is worse for the CLEC than for Verizon MA, Verizon MA may use

 the LCUG t score until such time as a permutation test can be run in an automated

 fashion. Once the permutation test can be run in an automated fashion, it should be

 performed for all measured variable statistical tests having a sample size of less than 30.
- b.) If the LCUG t score indicates an "out of parity" result, Verizon MA will run the permutation test.
- c.) If the permutation test shows an "out of parity" condition, Verizon MA may perform a root cause analysis to determine cause, or may be required by the Department to perform a root cause analysis. If the cause is the result of "clustering" within the data, Verizon MA will provide such documentation. The nature of the variables used in the performance measures is that they do not meet the requirements 100% of the time for any statistical testing. Individual data points are not independent. The primary example of such non-independence is a cable failure. If a particular CLEC has fewer than 30 troubles

and all are within the same cable failure with long duration, the performance will appear out of parity. However, for all troubles, including Verizon MA's troubles, within that individual event, the trouble duration is identical. Another example of clustering is if a CLEC has a small number of orders in a single location, with a facility problem. If this facility problem exists for all customers served by that cable and is longer than the average facility problem, the orders are not independent and clustering occurs. Finally, if root cause shows that the difference in performance is the result of CLEC behavior, Verizon MA will identify such behavior and work with the respective CLEC on corrective action.

Flow Chart of Log Gamma Based Hypergeometric Routine for PAP Report Counted Variable Metric Comparisons

<u>START</u>				
	Collect	<u>t Inputs</u>		
	?			
Incumbent	CLEC Proportion	Incumbent Total	CLEC Total Obs	
Proportion (clecprop) Obs (inctotal) (clectotal				
(incprop)				

<u>?</u>

Calculate: CLEC Failures (clecfail)

Incumbent Failures (incfail)

Total Failures (totfail)

Combined Total Observations (tottotal)

Total Proportion (totprop)

Note: If metric is one where a higher percentage is better, the number of <u>failures</u> is calculated as one minus the proportion multiplied by the number of observations instead of reported proportion x number of observations.

?

Statistical tests of parity should be performed under the following conditions:

If there are only 6 of one group (ILEC or CLEC), the other must be at least 30.

If there are only 7 of one, the other must be at least 18.

If there are only 8 of one, the other must be at least 14.

If there are only 9 of one, the other must be at least 12.

Any sample of at least 10 of one and at least 10 of the other ok for statistical evaluation. A parity metric comparison that does not meet the above sample size criteria may be taken to the Carrier Working Group for further evaluation.

 $\cdot ?$

Set "cumulative probability total" cell entry to 0

?

Loop: For i = max(0, [totfail + clectotal - tottotal]) to (clecfail - 1):

Use the natural logarithm of the gamma function to calculate the probability of getting exactly i failures in a sample the size of the CLEC total given the combined total failures and the combined total number of observations.

i.e. = exp[ln gamma(totfail+1)

- +ln gamma(tottotal-totfail+1)
- +ln gamma(tottotal-clectotal+1)
- +ln gamma(clectotal+1)
- -ln gamma(i+1)
- -ln gamma(totfail-i+1)
- -ln gamma(tottotal+i-totfail-clectotal+1)
- -ln gamma(clectotal-i+1)

-ln gamma(tottotal+1)]

Add this probability to the entry in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

?

The probability for the metric comparison is based upon the cumulative probability that exists in the "cumulative probability total" cell at the end of looping.

?

Determine the C2C Report "Statistical Score Equivalent" as the standard normal Z score that has the same probability as one minus the probability in the "cumulative probability total" cell.

. For performance measures where "parity" is the standard and sufficient sample size exists, Verizon MA will use the "modified Z statistic" proposed by a number of CLECs who are members of the Local Competitors User Group ("LCUG"). A Z or t score of below 1.645 provides a 95% confidence level that the variables are different, or that they come from different processes. The specific formulas are as follows:

Counted Variables:	Measured Variables:
$\frac{Z? \frac{P_{INC}? P_{CLEC}}{\sqrt{P_{INC}? 1? P_{INC}? \frac{2}{?} \frac{1}{n_{INC}}? \frac{1}{n_{CLEC}?}}}{\sqrt{P_{INC}? 1? P_{INC}? \frac{2}{?} \frac{1}{n_{INC}}? \frac{2}{n_{CLEC}?}}}$	$\frac{t? \frac{\overline{X}_{INC}? \overline{X}_{CLEC}}{\sqrt{S^2_{INC} \frac{?}{?} \frac{1}{n_{INC}}? \frac{1}{n_{CLEC}} \frac{?}{?}}}$

Note: If the metric is one where a higher mean or higher percentage signifies better performance, the proportions (counted variables) or means (measured variables) in the numerator of the statistical formulas should be reversed.

Definitions:

<u>Measured Variables</u> are metrics of means or averages, such as mean time to repair, or average interval.

Counted Variables are metrics of proportions, such as percent measures.

X is defined as the average performance or mean of the sample.

S is defined as the standard deviation.

n is defined as the sample size.

For metrics where higher numbers indicate better performance, this equation is reversed. These include: % Completed w/in 5 days (1.5 lines — No Dispatch and % Completed w/in 5 days (1.5 lines — Dispatch)

p is defined as the proportion, for percentages 90% translates to a 0.90 proportion.

B. Sample Size Requirements:

The standard Z or t statistic will be used for measures where "parity" is the standard, unless there is insufficient sample size. For measured variables, the minimum sample size for both the Verizon and the CLEC is 30. For counted variables, both n_{INC}p_{INC}(1-p_{INC}) and n_{CLEC}p_{CLEC}(1-p_{CLEC}) must be greater than or equal to 5. When the sample size requirement is not met, Verizon MA will do the following:

- 1.If the performance for the CLEC is better than Verizon MA's performance, no statistical analysis is required.
- 2.If the performance is worse for the CLEC than Verizon MA, Verizon MA will use the t distribution or binomial (counted or measured) until such time as a permutation test can be run in an automated fashion. If the performance is worse for the CLEC than for the incumbent for a counted variable, the incumbent will utilize the hypergeometric distribution, where calculable in an automated fashion in a manner that is contained within, or directly linked to the performance reporting spreadsheets, to produce the same result as would be obtained from the permutation test. The incumbent will provide monthly updates regarding its progress in automating the permutation test for measured variables and for automating the permutation test for counted variables in those instances where the test in not calculable in a manner tied to the performance reporting spreadsheets.
- 3.If the t or binomial distribution show an "out of parity" result, Verizon will run the permutation test.
- 4.If the permutation test shows an "out of parity" condition, Verizon MA will perform a root cause analysis to determine cause. If the cause is the result of "clustering" within the data, Verizon MA will provide documentation demonstrating that

clustering caused the out of parity condition. The nature of the variables used in the performance measures is such that they do not meet the requirements 100% of the time for any statistical testing including the requirement that individual data points must be independent. The primary example of such non independence is a cable failure. If a particular CLEC has fewer than 30 troubles and all are within the same cable failure with long duration, the performance will appear out of parity due to this clustering. However, for all troubles, including Verizon MA troubles, within that individual event, the trouble duration is identical. Another example of clustering is if a CLEC has a small number of orders in a single location, with a facility problem. If this facility problem exists for all customers served by that cable and is longer than the average facility problem, the orders are not independent and clustering occurs. Finally, if root cause shows that the difference in performance is the result of CLEC behavior, Verizon MA will identify such behavior and work with the respective CLEC on corrective action.

C. Verizon Exceptions Process:

1. Another assumption underlying the statistical models used here is the key frailty of using statistics to evaluate parity is that a key assumption about the data, necessary to use statistics, is faulty. As noted, one such assumption is that the data are is independent. In some instances exercise included in the performance measures of provisioning and maintenance of telecommunication services are not independent. The lack of independence is referred to as "clustering" of data. Clustering occurs when individual items (orders, troubles, etc.) are clustered together as one single event. This being the case, Verizon_MA will have the right to

file an exception to the performance scores in the Performance Assurance Plan if the following events occur:

- a. Event Driven Clustering: Cable Failure: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's troubles are in a single cable failure, Verizon_-MA may provide data demonstrating that all troubles within that failure, including Verizon_-MA troubles were resolved in an equivalent manner. Then, Verizon_-MA also will provide the repair performance data with that cable failure performance excluded from the overall performance for both the CLEC and Verizon_-MA_and: Tihe remaining troubles will be compared according to normal statistical methodologies.
- b. Location Driven Clustering: Facility Problems: If a significant proportion (more than 30%) of a CLEC's missed installation orders and resulting delay days were due to an individual location with a significant facility problem, Verizon_-MA will provide the data demonstrating that the orders were "clustered" in a single facility shortfall. Then, Verizon_-MA will provide the provisioning performance with that data excluded. Additional location driven clustering may be demonstrated by disaggregating performance into smaller geographic areas.
- c. <u>Time Driven Clustering:- Single Day Events</u>: If <u>a significant proportion</u> (more than 30%) of CLEC activity, provisioning or maintenance, occur on a single day within a month, and that day represents an unusual amount of activity in a single day, Verizon_-MA will provide the data demonstrating that the activity is on that day. Verizon_-MA will compare that single

day's performance for the CLEC to Verizon_-MA's own performance.

Then, Verizon will provide data with that day excluded from overall performance to demonstrate "parity."

d. <u>CLEC Actions</u>: If performance for any measure is impacted by unusual CLEC behavior, the incumbent Verizon MA will bring such behavior to the attention of the CLEC to attempt resolution. Examples of CLEC behavior impacting performance results include order quality, causing excessive missed appointments, incorrect dispatch identification, resulting in excessive multiple dispatch and repeat reports, inappropriate X coding on orders, where extended due dates are desired, and delays in rescheduling appointments, when Verizon has missed an appointment. If such action negatively impacts performance, Verizon will provide appropriate detail documentation of the events and communication to the individual CLEC and the Commission.

2. Documentation:

Verizon_-MA will provide all details, ensuring protection of customer proprietary information, to the CLEC and Department. Details include, individual trouble reports, and orders with analysis of Verizon_-MA and CLEC performance. For cable failures, Verizon_-MA will provide appropriate documentation detailing all other troubles associated with that cable failure.

3. Timeline for Exceptions Process:

The following is an example illustrating the timeline for the Exception Process.

Action	Date
January Performance Reports	February 25 th
Verizon Files Exceptions on January Performance	March 15 th
CLEC and other interested parties Files Reply to Verizon Exceptions	April 1 st
Department Issues Ruling on Exceptions	April 15 th
February Performance Reports	March 25th
March Performance Reports	April 25 th
Credits Processed for January Performance	By May 1st

APPENDIX E

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

Mode of Entry Bill Credit Mechanism

The following are the steps that will be undertaken to determine whether Bill Credits are due to any CLECs for the MOE categories.

- 1. For each MOE measure with a "parity" standard: Calculate Z or t score or perform permutation test (for small samples).
- 2. Convert Z, t or permutation equivalent score to performance score pursuant to the following table:

Statistical Score	Performance Score
? -1.645	-2
? < -0.8225 and > -1.645	-1
> -0.8225	0^{7}

When "no activity occurs" in a metric or when there is insufficient sample size for a metric as specified in Appendix D, the performance measure and its weight will be excluded from performance score. Measures and weights will not be excluded when there is a combination of no CLEC activity on an "Average Delay Day" measure, and activity with 0% performance on the corresponding CLEC "% Missed Appointment" measure (or 100% on a % On-Time measure) in the same report period. The Average Delay Day measure receives a "0" performance score and retains its assigned weight for the month when these combinations occur. The following tables lists the measure combinations:

		Average Delay Day Measures		% Missed Appointment or %Complete On-Time Measures
Resale	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	 Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - POTS Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - POTS
<u>UNE -</u> <u>Platform</u>	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	 Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Platform Missed Appointment - VZ - No Dispatch - Platform
<u>UNE – Loop</u>	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days - Total – POTS	PR-4-04	% Missed Appointment - VZ - Dispatch - Loop-New
2 Wire Digital	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W Digital -UNE/Resale	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	 Missed Appointment -Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -2W Digital -UNE/Resale
2Wire DSL	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -2W xDSL Loops	PR-4-14	% Completed On Time -2W xDSL Loops
Line Share/Split	PR-4-02	Average Delay Days -Total -Line Share/Split	PR-4-04 PR-4-05	 Missed Appointment -Dispatch -Line Share/Split Missed Appointment -No Dispatch -Line Share/Split
Collocation	<u>NP-2-</u> <u>07/8</u>	Average Delay Days - Total	<u>NP-2-</u> <u>05/6</u>	% On Time - Physical Collocation - Total

For report rate measures regardless of Zz or t score if absolute difference is less than 0.1%, the performance score is a 0.

- 3. For each MOE measure with an absolute standard: Determine Performance Score using performance range for the applicable measure. For small sample sizes, the small sample size table for measures with absolute standards is used. (*See* Appendix C.)
- 4. If the Aggregate Total Performance Score for a MOE is greater than the minimum value allowable for the applicable MOE (*See* Minimum and Maximum Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A), no bill credits are due to the CLECs that received the particular MOE services in that month. If the value is equal to or less than a minimum value, CLECs will be paid Bill Credits pursuant to the Bill Credit Tables in Appendix A, which will be adjusted to reflect the monthly volumes or units being used by the CLECs.⁸
- 5. The MOE Bill Credit Table reflects (1) the range of the aggregate performance scores from the minimum to maximum, (2) the monthly dollars attributable to each score, (3) the aggregate CLEC monthly volumes for the measure, and (4) the corresponding monthly rate what will be paid to each CLEC if Verizon_-MA's performance is at that particular level. The individual CLEC's Bill Credit will be determined by multiplying the CLEC's monthly units in service by the applicable rate for the Aggregate MOE score.
- 6. For example, assume the first two steps of the UNE-Platform Bill Credit Table were as follow:

Score	Mon. \$	Mon. Vol.	Mon. Rate
-0.36268- 0.30253	\$814,484	100,000	\$8.14
-0.38463- 0.32878	\$898,021	100,000	\$8.98

The measurement units for UNE-<u>Platform, UNE-Loops</u>, and Resale are lines in service. For Interconnection, it is minutes in use. For <u>Collocation</u>, it is collocation cages installed in the month.

-

Using the above Credit Table, if the Aggregate MOE score was $-0.\underline{37003100}$ and a CLEC had 5,000 UNE-Platform lines (at the end of the month), it would entitled to a \$40,700 Bill Credit (\$8.14 X 5,000 = \$40,700).

78. The Domain Clustering Rule

The Mode of Entry measures are classified into four key domains: Pre-Order, Ordering. Provisioning and Maintenance. To ensure that competition is not negatively influenced by poor performance on measures in any one of these domains, a Domain Clustering Rule has been established under this Plan. The rule, which applies only to the UNE-Platform, UNE-Loop, Resale and DSL MOEs, enables the entire mode of entry performance score to be modified if 75% or more of the total weights for the measures in any of the domains is tripped. For the Pre-Order domain, this percentage is reduced to 66.7%. Under this rule, the lower of the overall MOE score or the Domain score will be used to determine whether any bill credits are due. The domain score will be calculated as follows: First, determine the % of weights tripped, e.g., if a domain contained a number of metrics with a total weight of 80, and 65 of the 80 weights were tripped, the domain percentage would be 81.2%. Since this is greater than 75%, the domain clustering rule will apply.. Next, determine the difference between the minimum and maximum performance scores for the MOE, in which the domain appeared. For example, the minimum score for the UNE-Platform MOE is -0.252920.17129 and the maximum score for the UNE-Platform MOE is -0.67000, therefore, the difference is -0.417080.49871. This figure would be multiplied by the 81.2%. This equals -0.338670.40495. This number (-0.338670.40495) would be added to the minimum score and would result in a domain clustering score of — 0.591590.57624. If the MOE score were -0.388, the performance score for the MOE would be replaced with the domain clustering score of -0.591590.57624 based on the Domain Clustering Rule.

APPENDIX F

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

Critical Measures Performance Scoring

A. The following steps would be taken to determine which CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Aggregate Rule, *i.e.*, when aggregate CLEC performance falls below standard for a critical measure.

1. Calculate the total dollars available for Bill Cre dits per critical measure per month.

An increment table will be developed for each critical measure to determine the Bill Credits available for unsatisfactory performance, *i.e.*, at or less than performance scores of -1. The tables will range from 50% of the maximum monthly amount, for -1a performance difference of less than 1% to 100% of the maximum monthly amount for -2 performance. for performance differences of 10% and greater. A sample table appears below for Zz and t and performance scores where the maximum monthly amount for the measure is \$105,798195,930.

Table F-1-1
Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures
Measures with Statistical Evaluation Standards

Statistic	cal Score	Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
From	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	> -0.8225	0	0%	\$0
? -0.8225	> -0.9048	-1 .0	50%	\$ <u>52,899</u> 97,965
? -0.9048	> -0.9870	-1 .1	55%	\$ <u>58,189</u> 107,762
? -0.9870	> -1.0693	-1 .2	60%	\$ <u>63,479</u> 117,558
? -1.0693	> -1.1515	-1.3	65%	\$ <u>68,769</u> 127,355
? -1.1515	> -1.2338	-1.4	70%	\$ <u>74,059</u> 137,151
? -1.2338	> -1.3160	-1 .5	75%	\$ <u>79,348</u> 146,948
? -1.3160	> -1.3983	-1 .6	80%	\$ <u>84,638</u> 156,744
? -1.3983	> -1.4805	-1 .7	85%	\$ <u>89,928</u> 166,541
? -1.4805	> -1.5628	-1 ,8	90%	\$ <u>95,218</u> 176,337
? -1.5628	> -1.6450	-1 .9	95%	\$ <u>100,508</u> 186,134
? - 1.645		-2 .0	100%	\$ <u>105,798</u> 195,930

For HotOT Cut Performance, if either metric is below standard, the entire critical measure is treated as below standard.

Table F-1-2
Allocation of Dollars for Critical Measures
Measures with 95% Standards 10

% Perf	ormance	Performance	Increment	<u>Dollars</u>
<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Score</u>		
	? 95.0	0	0%	\$0
< 95.0	? 94.5	-1 .0	50%	<u>\$52,899</u> \$ 97,965
< 94.5	? 94.0	-1 .1	55%	<u>\$58,189</u> \$ 107,762
< 94.0	? 93.5	-1 .2	60%	<u>\$63,479</u> \$117,558
< 93.5	? 93.0	-1.3	65%	<u>\$68,769</u> \$127,355
< 93.0	? 92.5	-1.4	70%	<u>\$74,059</u> \$137,151
< 92.5	? 92.0	-1 .5	75%	<u>\$79,348</u> \$146,948
< 92.0	? 91.5	-1 .6	80%	<u>\$84,638</u> \$156,744
< 91.5	? 91.0	-1 .7	85%	<u>\$89,928</u> \$166,541
< 91.0	? 90.5	-1 ,8	90%	<u>\$95,218</u> \$176,337
< 90.5	? 90.0	-1 .9	95%	<u>\$100,508</u> \$186,134
< 90.0		-2 .0	100%	<u>\$105,798</u> \$195,930

2. The aggregate performance score would be used to determine the amount of Bill Credits available for CLECs who received unsatisfactory performance.

Pursuant to table F-1-1, \$52,89997,965 would be available if the aggregate \mathbb{Z}_{+} score equaled -0.823 and the performance score equaled -1.11

3. Determine which CLECs qualify for the market adjustment.

For measures where the statistical score is used, the cutoff point for qualification is Verizon_-MA's score on the critical measure +/- one sampling error (based upon the Verizon_-MA sampling error). Each CLEC's performance is compared to the cutoff point. Performance equal to or less than the cutoff qualifies for Bill Credits. For example, if Verizon_-MA's performance score was .13 and the sampling error was .03, all CLECs with scores equal to or greater than .16 would qualify.

For Performance Measures with other % standards, the range of performance will be similarly distributed in 10 even increments.

When calculating a market adjustment for metrics that use absolute standards (generally a 95% standard) all CLECs at the -1 level or less would qualify. The calculation of the dollars is similar to the Zz-score method.

- 4. Calculate the individual market adjustments for qualified CLECs.
 - a. Determine each CLEC's allocated weight. Multiply the CLEC's score on the measure by the volume of its service to be credited.
 - b. Determine each CLEC's weighted share. Aggregate the amounts from step "a" and divide each CLECs share by this total to determine each CLEC's weighted share.
 - c. Determine each CLEC's dollar share. Multiply the CLEC's weighted share by the total amount available for market adjustment.
- B. The following steps will be taken to determine whether any CLECs would be entitled to Bill Credits pursuant to the Individual Rule, i.e., for CLECs who receive a performance score? -1 for two consecutive months: 12
 - 1. Determine if any CLECs qualify for Bill Credit Adjustment. CLECs qualify for a Bill Credit if they received a final score equal to or less then .8225 for Zz and t scores or equal to or less than -1 for absolute scores on any of the measures included in the critical measurements for the applicable month.
 - 2. Determine each CLECs Bill Credit Adjustment base. The CLECs individual Zz or t or performance score is used as a starting point to determine the monthly amount available for bill credits to that CLEC.
 - 3. Calculate Bill Credit Adjustment to apply to the CLECs impacted. The monthly dollars available to the CLEC are converted to a rate assuming that 1/3 of the market would receive a Z or t-score of -.8225 or less or a performance score of -1 or less. This rate is multiplied by the CLEC's qualified volume (e.g., lines in services) to determine the amount to be credit to the CLEC for that critical measure.

For the individual rule, if a CLEC has a performance score of -1 or less in the current month where

Verizon passes a measure at the aggregate level and there is no activity in the previous month to determine the CLEC's eligibility for payment under the individual rule, VZ will instead look back one additional month for a performance score of -1 or less for the eligibility determination. If there is not activity in either of the two previous months, the individual rule will not be triggered.

APPENDIX G

[Effective Date]

APPENDIX H

[Effective Date] May 18, 2001

Special Provisions– UNE Measures

UNE Ordering Performance Measures:

Verizon_-MA will provide an additional \$1,058,333 in monthly bill credits for UNE Order Confirmation Performance based on four POTS metrics included in the MOE category. If on-time performance falls below 90% for any month, a credit of \$264,5835 for each metric missing the standard will be distributed like the bill credits under Critical Measures. Funding for these credits will be taken from funds that are unused in 6 previous months or from the current month. No new funds are available. The metrics and standards are as follows:

Metric #	POTS Electronically Submitted	Threshold
OR-1-04	% On Time LSRC/ASRC – No Facility	< 90%
	<u>Check < 10 Lines(Electronic-No Flow</u>	
	Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-	
	Qualified Complex/LNP	
OR-1-06	% On Time LSRC <u>ASRC</u> <u>– Facility</u>	< 90%
	Check ? 10 Lines (Electronic - No Flow	
	Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-	
	Qualified Complex/LNP	
OR-2-04	% On Time <u>LSR/ASR</u> Reject <u>No</u>	< 90%
	<u>Facility Check</u> < 10 <u>Lines</u> (<u>Electronic</u>	
	No Flow Through) – Platform and	
	Loop/Pre-Qualified Complex/LNP	
OR-2-06	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject — Facility	< 90%
	Check ? 10 Lines (Electronic - No Flow	
	Through) – Platform and Loop/Pre-	
	Qualified Complex/LNP	

Any bill credit amounts due for Special Provisions UNE Ordering are to be allocated between UNE-Platform and UNE-Loop in the same proportions as the totals at risk for the two modes in MOE. Then, within each mode, the amounts are to be allocated corresponding to each CLEC's UNE-Platform lines as a proportion of total UNE-Platform lines and each CLEC's UNE-Loops as a proportion of total UNE-Loops.

Flow Through:

An additional \$5.29 Million per year is available for flow through performance. Two performance measures for UNE from the Carrier to Carrier Performance Guidelines will be used to measure performance with the performance scores set forth below.

Metric #		Threshold
OR-5-01	% Flow Through – Total – UNE	? 80%
OR-5-03	% Flow Through – Achieved – UNE	? 95%

For each measure, the UNE scores will be combined and reviewed on a quarterly basis. If the combined score meets either target, no additional credits are due. If the combined score meets neither metric target for that quarter, then one-fourth (1/4) the annual amount\$1,322,500 will be credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs based on the number of lines in service. Lines in service will equal: UNE-P, UNE Loops, IOF, and EEL Loops. Performance will be measured for the first time under this measure—upon Verizon MA's—entry—into—the—InterLATA—market.—The prior three months will be examined to determine if bill credits are due.

The following table demonstrates the calculation of quarterly flow through performance:

Quarterly Flow Through Performance:

			Quarter
Month 1	Month 2	2 Month 3	Total

Total Orders that Flow Through UNE

15000 | 18000 | 17000 | 50000

Total Orders Processed UNE

25000 | 21000 | 22000 | 68000

Total % Flow Through - UNE Combined for Quarter:

73.5%

A....

Total Orders that Flow Through UNE

15000 18000 17000 50000

Total Orders Designed to Flow Through: UNE

Total % Achieved Flow Through – UNE Combined for Quarter:

90.9%

In this example, neither metric met the performance threshold, therefore, \$1,322,500 would have been credited to all CLECs purchasing UNEs.

Additional Hot Cut Loop Performance Measures:

An additional \$12.70 Million per year is available for Hot Cut Loop performance. This measure will be composed of two performance metrics: PR-9-01 – "% On Time - Hot Cut Loop" and PR-6-02 – "% Installation Troubles within 7 Days – Hot Cut Loop." If either one of these thresholds is missed, additional bill credits will be distributed to the CLECs.

This measure has two tiers of performance standards. Tier I will be applied to a two month scenario, and Tier II will be applied to a one month scenario. The Tier I threshold is measured based on two consecutive months of performance, while the Tier II threshold is measured based on an individual month's performance. The performance thresholds are contained in the table below:

Metric #		Tier I	Tier II
		Threshold	
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop ¹⁵	< 90%	< 85%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles within 7 Days – Hot Cut Loop	? 3.00%	? 4.00%

Under Tier I, if Verizon_-MA does not satisfy the above standards for two consecutive months, it will distribute \$529,1676 million to the affected CLECs. Under Tier II, if Verizon_-MA does not satisfy the above standards for a single month, it will distribute \$1,058,333 million to the affected CLECs. Below is an example of how this measure would work.

These two measures are also included in the Critical Measurements method, and additional bill credits may be due if Verizon_-MA does not satisfy that Critical Measure.

¹⁵ % On Time – Hot Cut Loop performance will be adjusted such that any missed appointment for customer reasons – due to late FOC will be counted as a miss.

Example:

Metric #		Performance	Performance	Performance	Performance
		For Month 1	for Month 2	for Month 3	for Month 4
PR-9-01	% On Time Hot Cut Loop	84%	91%	91%	91%
PR-6-02	% Installation Troubles within	2%	3.5%	2%	3.5%
	7 Days – Hot Cut Loop				
	Credit for the Month	\$1,058,333	\$529,16 <mark>7</mark> 6	\$0	\$0

In month 1, Verizon_MA did not satisfy the more stringent requirements of Tier II and \$1,058,333 in bill credits would be due.

In month 2, Verizon-MA satisfied the performance standard under Tier II, but not the less severe standard under Tier I. Bill credits would be due, however, because Verizon-MA failed to meet the Tier I standard two months in a row. (Month 1 counts against Verizon-MA.)

In month 3 both the Tier I and II standards were met, Verizon-MA would owe nothing.

In month 4, the Tier I performance standard was not met, but no bill credits would be due since Tier I requires Verizon-MA to fail these performance standards two months in a row. Verizon-MA service in month 3 was satisfactory. Month 5 would determine whether bill credits would be due under either Tier I or Tier II.

ELECTRONIC DATA INTERFACE MEASURES

This Special Provision includes three measures to ensure that the Electronic Data Interface between Verizon MA's operational support systems and the CLEC systems operate in a non discriminatory fashion. An additional \$9.52 million per annum in bill credits is available for these three measures.

A.% Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket PONS cleared within 3 Business Days

Verizon MA will provide an addition \$528,889 in bill credits each month for a new measure "%

Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket PONS Cleared Within 3 Business Days." If performance falls below 90% for any month on this measure, or more than 5% of the orders resubmitted by CLECs related to trouble tickets at Verizon MA's request are rejected as duplicates, a credit of \$528,889 will be allocated to all CLECs using the EDI interface based on the number of lines in service. Lines in service will equal: UNE P, UNE Loops, IOF, EEL Loops and Resold Lines. Copies of the measures not contained in the Carrier to Carrier Guidelines (12/00 version) are attached. The measures and

Measure #		Threshold
PO 9 01	% Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket PONS Cleared within 3 Bus. Days	< 90%
	· ·	
OR-3-02	% Resubmission Rejection	> 5%

standards are as follows:

B.% SOP To Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days

Verizon MA will provide an additional \$264,444 in bill credits each month for a new measure "% SOP to Bill Completion Notice Sent Within 3 Business Days." A copy of the measure is attached. If

APPENDIX H Page 6

performance falls below 90% for any month, the bill credits will be allocated to all CLECs using the EDI interface based on the number of lines in service as defined above. The metric and standard is are follows:

Measure #		Threshold
OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	< 90%

Function:

PO-9 Timeliness of Trouble Ticket Resolution

Definition:

The percent of EDI missing notifier trouble ticket PONS cleared within 3 business days from the day of receipt of the trouble ticket. The elapsed time begins with receipt at the Verizon Systems Support Help Desk of a trouble ticket for EDI missing notifiers (i.e., order acknowledgement, order confirmation, order rejection, work completion, and billing completion notices) with the PONS in questions enumerated with the appropriate identification. The ticket is considered cleared when Verizon has either requested the CLEC to resubmit the PON or communicated the current status of the PON and provided the delayed status notifier to the CLEC. Tickets received after 5 PM and trouble ticket clearances sent after 5PM will be considered effective on the following business day. Performance will be based on the time that the trouble ticket is received.

Exclusions:

? The PONs shall be considered to be timely cleared if Verizon provides the status notifier after 3 business days at the request of the CLEC or because of CLEC system capacity or availability may cause VZ to miss the 3 day target.

? Out of sequence notifiers. This type of ticket indicates that the CLEC has received one or more notifiers for a PON but not in the sequence expected.

Performance Standard:

90% threshold for Special Provisions

Keport Billienslons.		
Company:	Geography:	
? CLEC aggregate	? State	

Products

? EDI Notifier Trouble Tickets

Sub-Metrics				
% Missing Notifier Trouble Ticket PONS Cleared within 3 Bus. Days				
Numerator	Denominator			
Number of EDI missing notifier trouble	Total number of EDI missing notifier trouble			
ticket PONS in denominator cleared within 3	ticket PONS submitted.			
business days after receipt.				
	% Missing Notifier Trouble Tick Numerator Number of EDI missing notifier trouble ticket PONS in denominator cleared within 3			

Function:

OR-4 Timeliness of Completion Notification

Definition

Resale & UNE combined:

Completion Notification Response Time:

The elapsed time between the actual order completion in the Service Order System (SOP) and the distribution of the billing completion notification. If multiple orders have been generated from a single CLEC/Reseller request, the measure is taken between completion of the last order associated with the request and the distribution of the completion notification.

Exclusions:

? VZ Test Orders

? When the order completion time in the billing system cannot be determined, the order is excluded from the measurements, and the percentage of orders so excluded is reported each month.

? From OR-4-09; Complex Resale Orders

Performance Standard:

OR-4-09: 90% threshold for Special Provision.

Report Dimensions OR-4 Completion Notification

Company:	Geography:
? CLEC Aggregate	? State
? CLEC Specific	

Sub-Metrics

OR-4-09	% SOP to Bill Completion Within 3 Business Days	
Products Products	? EDI Orders	
Calculation	Numerator	Denominator

APPENDIX H Page 10

Total number orders in denominator for	Number of SOP Completed Orders during
which billing completion notices (BCN) are	the report period.
time-stamped in DCAS within 3 business	
days of SOP completion.	

APPENDIX I

[Effective Date]

CHANGE CONTROL ASSURANCE PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION292	
II.	THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS	
III.	MONTHLY REPORTS393	
IV.	REVIEWS, UPDATES AND AUDITS494	
V.	EXCEPTION PROCESS494	
VI.	TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS595	
VII.	FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT <u>595</u>	
	APPENDIX TABLE I-A - Change Control Measures	

INTRODUCTION

To ensure that Verizon Massachusetts ("Verizon-MA"), will execute the Change Control process in an expeditious and non-discriminatory manner, Verizon-MA will undertake the actions set forth in this Change Control Assurance Plan (the "C.C.A.P.CCAP") after entry into the long distance market pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. A total of \$13.2 million in bill credits will be at risk to CLECs if Verizon-MA provides unsatisfactory service for the four measures in this Plan.

II.I. THE CHANGE CONTROL MEASURES AND BILL CREDITS

The following measures are included in this Plan:

- 1. PO-4-01: % Change Management Notices Sent on Time;
- 2. PO-4-03: Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days;
- 3. PO-6-01: % Software Validation; and
- 4. PO-7-04: Delay Hours Failed/Rejected Test Transactions No Workaround.

Attached hereto as Appendix Table I-A is a chart that provides the standards that will be applied to each of the above measures and the total amount of bill credits associated with each standard. If a performance measure is missed according to its standards, bill credits will be paid to all CLECs purchasing Unbundled Network Elements ("UNEs") or resold services. CLECs will receive bill credits on a prorated basis of the total credit determined using Appendix Table I-A based on their lines in service. This Plan will use the same mechanisms set forth in the Performance Assurance Plan for determining "lines in service." (See P.A.P.PAP Section II (C)(2))

Under this Change Control Assurance Plan, Verizon-MA will retain the right to withdraw any proposed software release prior to the item being put into final production. If

Verizon_MA exercises this right, it will not be deemed to have violated the requirements set forth in PO-4-01, PO-4-03, PO-6-01 or PO-7-04 and will not be subject to the payment of bill credits under those measures.

The initial amount of annual bill credits for all CLECs will be \$5.28 million under this Plan. If, however, the bill credits due to the CLECs under this Plan exceed \$5.28 million in any year, ¹⁶ an additional amount of \$7.92 million will be at risk from the bill credit amounts allocated to the Mode of Entry Categories in the Performance Assurance Plan. Thus, a total of \$13.2 million will be available for bill credits for the Change Control measures. Bill credit payments for Change Control measures will be given priority over bill credits for the MOE categories.

The Department will have the authority to reallocate the monthly distribution of bill credits between and among any provisions of the P.A.P.PAP and the C.C.A.P.CCAP. The Department will give the Company 15 days notice prior to the beginning of the month in which the reallocation will occur. Any reallocation will be done pursuant to Department order.

HI.II. MONTHLY REPORTS

Each month Verizon_-MA will issue a report on its performance on the above measures to each CLEC providing service in Massachusetts. ¹⁷ The reports will be CLEC specific and will indicate the scores on the measures, the aggregate amount of bill credits, if any, that Verizon_-MA must provide pursuant to the standards set forth in Appendix_Table I-A, and the specific amount of bill credits that will appear on the individual CLEC's bill. All CLECs with multiple

The "year" will be measured from the first day of Verizon_-MA's entry into the interLATA market.

Verizon-MA's performance on the other Change Control metrics will be reported in the monthly C2C reports.

bill accounts must inform Verizon_-MA as to which of their accounts should receive any bill credits for the Change Control measures.

IV.III. REVIEWS, UPDATES AND AUDITS

- Annual reviews and updates will occur under this Plan until the Department determines otherwise. However, Verizon_-MA, after consulting with Staff, may at any time recommend to the Department modifications, additions, or deletions to the measures in this Plan or the bill credit allocations. CLECs and any other interested parties will be given an opportunity to provide comments on any recommendations. In addition, Staff will have the right from time to time, on 60-days notice to Verizon_-MA, to conduct an audit of data reported in the monthly reports. ¹⁸

¥.IV. EXCEPTION PROCESS

Verizon_-MA will have the right to file a petition with the Department seeking to have the standards contained in AppendixTable I-A waived or modified either for future or past periods. The Department shall grant such a request if it determines that the application of one or more of the standards contained in AppendixTable I-A would not serve the public interest. The application of one or more parts of AppendixTable I-A would not serve the public interest if Verizon_-MA could not, through any reasonable efforts, prevent results that do not satisfy the standards. Verizon_-MA's petition must include all information that demonstrates how the measure was missed. It shall also include a recalculation of the measure with the challenged information excluded from the calculations. CLECs and other interested parties will be given an opportunity to respond to any Verizon_-MA petition for an Exception. In the event the

Unlike the most of the measures in the <u>P.A.P.PAP</u>, the recording of data for each of the measures in this Plan will be done manually.

Department rules in Verizon_-MA's favor, Verizon_-MA will have the right to offset any paid bill credits against any future bill credits that may come due for either the Change Control measures or Performance Assurance Plan measures.

YI.V. TERM OF PLAN FOR THE CHANGE CONTROL PROCESS

The Change Control Assurance Plan will have the same term as the Performance Assurance Plan. It will remain in effect, as modified from time to time by the Department, until the Department rescinds the Performance Assurance Plan or develops a replacement mechanism.

VII.VI. FULLY INTEGRATED DOCUMENT

The terms and provisions of this Plan are submitted in their entirety to the Department for approval. This Plan represents a fully integrated statement of the commitments Verizon_-MA will undertake, including the payment of bill credits for unsatisfactory performance under the measures. It is not offered to the Department for approval on a piecemeal basis.

APPENDIX TABLE I-A PAGE 1

Change Control Performance Assurance Plan Measures

PO-4-01	% Change Management Notices Sent on Time					
	Performance Range (Notification and Confirmation for Types 3, 4 and 5 only)	? 95%	90 to 94.9%	< 90%		
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$132,000	\$264,000		
PO-4-03	Change Management Notice Delay 8 plus Days (Notification and					
	Confirmation for Type 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5)					
	Performance Credit	\$13,200 per day				
PO-6-01	% Software Validation (See Note 1)					
	Performance Range	? 5%	5.1 to 10%	> 10%		
	Performance Credit	\$0	\$52,800	\$528,000		
PO-7-04	Delay Hours – Failed/Rejected Test Transactions – No Workaround					
	(See Note 2)					
	Performance Credit	\$26,400 per day Per Release				

Note 1: Measured against releases pursuant to Change Notice Types 3, 4 and 5

Note 2: PO-7-04 applies to failed Test Deck items executed by Verizon_-MA in PO-6-01 and applies until all errors reported in PO-6-01 are fixed.